SANTA BARBARA COUNT ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS **GOLETA RAMP** METERS

FINAL REPORT

MAY 8, 2018







TABLE OF CONTENTS

Та	ble of Contents	i
1.	Introduction	1
	1.1 Summary	1
2.	Data Collection	5
	2.1. Freeway and Ramp Physical Features	5
	2.2. Traffic Counts	8
	2.3. Passenger Occupancy Counts	.14
	2.4. Freeway Mainline Travel Times	.14
	2.5. Safety Data	.14
	2.6. Field Observations	. 17
3.	Existing Baseline Analysis	18
	3.1. Freeway Mainline Operations	. 18
	3.2. Intersection Operations	. 22
	3.3. Safety Evaluation	. 24
	3.4. Transit Operations	. 24
	3.5. Caltrans Studies	. 30
4.	Evaluation of Alternatives	32
	4.1. Alternatives	. 32
	4.2. Methodology	. 32
	4.3. Base Year Evaluation	. 38
	4.4. Future Year Evaluation	. 42
	4.5. Additional Considerations	. 51
5.	Outreach	53
	5.1. Public Workshops	. 53
	5.2. Online Survey	. 53
6.	Conclusions	54
Ap	pendix A: Freeway Speed Contours	55
Aŗ	pendix B: Crash Maps Based on TIMS	64
Ar	pendix C: FREQ Calibration	74
•	FREQ Model Development and Input Data	.74
	FREQ Model Calibration Results	. 78
	Conclusions	.95
	U ODICIUNIOUN	.75

Appendix D: Online Survey	6
---------------------------	---

1. INTRODUCTION

The Goleta Ramp Metering Study is exploring the feasibility and potential impacts of installing ramp meters along US 101 and State Route 217 (SR 217) to regulate the flow of vehicles entering the freeway, which could allow the freeways to flow better during periods of higher traffic volumes. The focus area of the study is US 101 between Turnpike Road and Cathedral Oaks Road and SR 217 from Sandspit Road to US 101 (Figure 1). The study also includes evaluation of parallel facilities and intersections to determine potential diversion impacts.

1.1 SUMMARY

The data collection and key findings are summarized below.

Data Collection

Several types of new data were collected in the Fall of 2016:

- ▶ Traffic counts on the US 101 and SR 217 freeways
- Traffic counts on all freeway on and off ramps in the study area
- ▶ Vehicle occupancies and classifications on SR 217
- Travel times and speeds using floating car surveys

Additional information was compiled from available sources:

- ► Freeway mainline traffic counts on US 101 from the Caltrans PeMS system
- Arterial and intersection traffic counts from the City of Goleta
- Collision data from the Caltrans TASAS system

IN THIS REPORT>>

- ► Data collected
- Existing transportation operations
- Effects of alternative ramp metering strategies
- Evaluation based on performance measures

Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 2

Figure 1: Goleta Ramp Metering Study Area



Baseline Analysis

Freeway Mainline Operations

The travel time surveys, level of service analysis based on density and visual field observations all confirmed the key congestion locations, with speeds less than 35 mph and LOS F densities:

AM Peak Period (between 7:00 and 9:00 AM)

- ► SB US 101 at the Los Carneros Road Interchange, from 7:30 AM to 8:00 AM
- ► SB US 101 near the Turnpike Road interchange from 7:30 AM to 8:15 AM

PM Peak Period (between 4:00 and 7:00 PM)

- ► SB US 101 near the Turnpike Road interchange from 4:00 PM to 6:30 PM
- ▶ NB SR 217 approaching US 101 from 4:00 PM to 6:30 PM

Freeway Speeds

- Median speeds on US 101 were 67 to 70 mph, with 85 percent of vehicles driving at 77 mph or less.
- ► The speeds on SR 217 at Sandspit Road were slower (median speeds of 53 to 59 mph and 85th percentile speeds of 64 to 67 mph), as this location is near the endpoint of freeway operations.

Vehicle Occupancies

High-occupancy vehicles (autos and buses) account for about 13 percent of the vehicles on northbound SR 217, including 2.0 percent bus/shuttle in the AM peak period and 0.6 percent bus/shuttle in the PM peak period.

Intersection Operations

Based on a Highway Capacity Manual operations analysis, the intersection of Fairview Avenue and Calle Real operates at LOS E during the PM peak hour, and other study intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during the AM and PM peak hours. Individual movements at certain intersections may have higher delays than the intersection averages.

Collisions

- The collision rates on US 101 and SR 217 in the study area are higher than the statewide averages for similar facilities, although the rates for severe injury accidents are similar to statewide averages.
- ► The interchange with the highest number of fatal or injury accidents was US 101 at Storke/Glen Annie, with 21 injury crashes between 2012 and 2015.

Transit Service

 Three transit operators and 15 bus routes use one or more freeway interchanges in the study area.

Base Year Evaluation

For 2016 base year traffic levels, ramp metering on southbound US 101 could increase average PM peak period (between 4:00 and 7:00 PM) freeway speeds by up to 27 percent, from 44 to 62 miles per hour (mph). While total vehicle delay would be decreased on the freeway, the decreases would be more than offset by increases in delay at the metered on-ramps and on local streets due to traffic diversion. Up to two of the nine study intersections would have a change in level of service from D to E due to diversion.

Future Year Evaluation

With 2035 traffic conditions, there would be significant congestion on the freeway during the PM peak period in the southbound direction, and some congestion southbound in the AM period and northbound in the PM period. With the projected amount of congestion, ramp metering would not be able to significantly increase freeway speeds. As with the base year evaluation, any decreases in freeway delay due to ramp metering would be more than offset by increases in delay at metered on-ramps and on local streets due to diversion.

Conclusions

- Ramp metering alone could provide benefits to the US 101 freeway through Goleta, but would not provide overall travel time benefits to the transportation system (freeway, ramps, local streets) within the Goleta study area.
- Ramp metering in the Goleta area may or may not provide additional benefits to freeway. operations beyond the Goleta study area (to the south of Turnpike Road) but further study of the extended area would be required.
- A more comprehensive evaluation of ramp metering, beyond the resources of this study, would consider effects on vehicle safety, air quality, mode shifts towards ridesharing induced by HOV bypass lanes, and economic effects including goods movement through the US 101 corridor.
- Based on the results included in this report, further study and analysis of the Goleta study area is necessary to achieve impactful reductions in congestion. The role of local development approvals in mitigating future congestion should be considered.
- A combination of ramp metering, other Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies, including ridesharing, telecommuting, and alternative work schedules, and increased local and commuter bus service, could potentially achieve meaningful reductions in congestion and increased travel time reliability.

2. DATA COLLECTION

Several types of data were collected to provide baseline information for the ramp metering study:

- Inventory of freeway and ramp physical features
- Freeway mainline volume and vehicle classification counts
- Freeway ramp volume and vehicle classification counts
- Compilation of arterial traffic counts
- Passenger occupancy counts on State Route (SR) 217
- ► Freeway mainline travel times using floating car surveys
- Collision data
- Caltrans studies

Data collection locations are summarized in Figure 2.

2.1. FREEWAY AND RAMP PHYSICAL FEATURES

US 101 Freeway

US 101 is a state highway that is considered to be a north-south route through California, and has both controlled-access freeway sections and conventional highway sections. Within Goleta, US 101 runs in an east-west direction and is a controlled access freeway. For this report, the direction towards San Luis Obispo is referred to as "northbound" and the direction towards Santa Barbara is referred to as "southbound."

There are two lanes in each direction in the west part of the study area and three lanes in each direction in the east study area. In the northbound direction, the three lanes merge into two lanes just past the Fairview Avenue off-ramp. In the southbound direction, there is a third auxiliary lane between the Storke Road on-ramp and the Los Carneros Road off-ramp. A full third lane is added at the Fairview Avenue onramp.

Ramp Configurations

The physical features of the existing freeway ramps were inventoried based on aerial photography and verified by field review (Table 1). The lengths of ramps were measured from their intersection with the surface network to the merge point. Storage length estimates factor in the number and length of lanes along the ramps. Storage would be reduced with the installation of ramp meters by the amount of setback of the meter from the merge point.

The eastbound and westbound SR 217 ramp intersections at Hollister Avenue will be reconstructed as roundabouts, with design plans at 95 percent constructability review as of February, 2018.

- Physical inventory
- ► Freeway counts and surveys
- ► Local traffic counts
- Travel time data collection
- Collision data

Figure 2: Data Collection Locations



Table	1:	Goleta	Freeway	Ramp	Configurations
-------	----	--------	---------	------	----------------

Interchange	Ramp	Length (ft)	Intake Lanes	Output Lanes	Total Storage (ft)
US 101 Ramps					
	NB Off-Ramp	1,200	1	ግ ላ ተ	2,000
Turnnike Road	NB On-Ramp	1,250	2	1: Merge	1,450
TUTTPIKE KOOO	SB Off-Ramp	1,100	1	412	1,550
	SB On-Ramp	1,250	2	1: Merge	1,600
	NB US 101 Off- Ramp	775	1	┑┿┍	1,175
	NB US 101 On- Ramp	2,150	2: 1 to SR 217 WB	1: Merge	2,150
	WB SR 217 Off- Ramp	1,100	2: 1 to US 101 NB	1: Merge	1,100
Patterson	NB US 101 – WB SR 217 Connector	1,050	1	1: Exclusive Lane	1,250
Avenue / SR 217	SB US 101 Off- Ramp	2,025	1	↑ ↓: Left fed by SR 217	2,025
	EB SR 217 – SB US 101 Connector	950	2	1: Merge	1,350
	EB SR 217 Off- Ramp	1,050	1		1,050
	SB US 101 On- Ramp	1,650	2	1: Merge	2,150
	NB Off-Ramp	1,100	1	† r>	1,250
Fairview	NB On-Ramp	750	2	1: Merge	950
	SB Off-Ramp	1,150	1	4 4	1,500
	SB On-Ramp	1,100	2	1: Exclusive Lane	1,425
	NB Off-Ramp	1,250	1	ግ 💠	1,825
Los Carneros	NB On-Ramp	1,375	2	1: Merge	1,575
коаа	SB Off-Ramp	1,650	1	4 Þ	1,650
	SB On-Ramp	2,125	2	1: Merge	2,725
	NB Off-Ramp	2,850	1	┑┥┝	4,100
Glen Annie	NB On-Ramp	1,375	1	1: Merge	1,375
Road / Storke	SB Off-Ramp	1,400	1	4 r	1,700
Koda	SB On-Ramp	1,425	3	1: Exclusive Lane	3,125

Interchange	Ramp	Length (ft)	Intake Lanes	Output Lanes	Total Storage (ft)
Winchester	NB Off-Ramp	650	1	4	650
Canyon Road	NB On-Ramp	1,250	2	1: Merge	1,275
/ Cathedral	SB Off-Ramp	2,000	1	↑ P	2,825
	SB On-Ramp	1,075	1	1: Merge	1,075
SR 217 Ramps					
	WB Off-Ramp	1,300	1	4 r	1,950
Hollister	WB On-Ramp	1,150	1	1: Merge	1,150
Avenue	EB Off-Ramp	1,375	1	ኅ ዮ	1,750
	EB On-Ramp	1,225	2	1: Merge	1,400

Table 1: Goleta Freeway Ramp Configurations

*The eastbound and westbound SR 217 ramp intersections at Hollister Avenue will be reconstructed as roundabouts, with design plans at 95 percent constructability review as of February, 2018.

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017

2.2. TRAFFIC COUNTS

Traffic counts were compiled for the US 101 and SR 217 mainline freeways, each study area ramp, and arterial segments and intersections in the study area.

Traffic counts were intended to be conducted all during the same week in early October. However, due to equipment issues and the need for recounts, the freeway mainline counts were not completed until late October/early November. Additional data from the Caltrans Performance Measurement System (PeMS) were reviewed to determine if the mainline counts from one week would be compatible with ramp counts from a different week.

Freeway Mainline Counts

Radar-based non-intrusive devices (Wavetronix) were installed to capture vehicular volumes and speeds on the US 101 and SR 217 freeway mainline. The Wavetronix units were deployed at the following three locations:

- 1. US 101 at Turnpike Road (October 31 November 7)
- 2. US 101 at Cathedral Oaks Road (October 24 October 31)
- 3. SR 217 at Sandpit Road (October 24 November 6)

The Wavetronix data is summarized at 15 minute intervals for each day surveyed. The Wavetronix units also collect information on spot speeds and vehicle classifications.

Freeway Detector Counts (PeMS)

The freeway mainline counts, ramp counts and travel time surveys were conducted during several different weeks. The mainline counts were conducted during late October and early November, while the ramp counts were from the first two weeks of October. Therefore, freeway volumes were evaluated for each of the survey weeks to determine if there were any significant differences in traffic conditions during the different data collection efforts. The Caltrans Freeway Performance Measurement System (PeMS) database can provide travel speed and traffic count data for any day for each individual lane at selected locations where loop detectors are operating. The PeMS data were not used as the primary source for reporting average travel speeds and times; the floating car surveys were the primary source for average speeds and times.

Individual loop detectors do not always operate acceptably, so the PeMS data were screened to ensure that the analysis only includes data from detectors with acceptable operation during the survey period. For each detector, the PeMS system reports an estimated "data quality" percentage of acceptable operation during a given time period. If a detector is not providing data, the PeMS system uses information from adjacent detectors and historical records to impute the missing count and speed information. For this study, results for a set of detectors at a specific freeway location during a specific hour were only used if the data quality percentage was reported as 80 percent or higher.

The daily traffic volumes during each of the survey weeks are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 3. There is no clear trend of one week being higher or lower than other weeks throughout the corridor. In general, traffic volumes during each week were within five percent of the average for the survey period. The largest difference was during the second week of October, when the daily volumes were 9.4 percent lower than the period average in the southbound direction north of Fairview Drive.

Week	North of Turnpike Northbound	South of Turnpike Southbound	North of Fairview Northbound	North of Fairview Southbound
10/4-10/6	37,630 (+0.5%)	42,020 (0.0%)	24,460 (+2.1%)	25,270 (+5.5%)
10/11-10/13	36,680 (-2.0%)	41,610 (-1.0%)	23,210 (-3.1%)	21,710 (-9.4%)
10/25-10/27	38,990 (+4.1%)	42,550 (+1.3%)	23,010 (-4.0%)	23,530 (-1.8%)
11/1-11/3	36,480 (-2.6%)	41,880 (-0.3%)	25,170 (+5.0%)	25,315 (+5.7%)
Average	37,450	42,020	23,960	23,960

Table 2: Average	US 101	Weekday	Volumes	from PeMS	3
------------------	--------	---------	---------	-----------	---

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017

Because there were no consistent or significant differences in traffic volumes during the various survey weeks, it is assumed that the surveys from the various weeks can be used together to define the baseline conditions for the corridor.

Figure 3: Average US 101 Weekday Volumes from PeMS



PeMS Average Daily (T-Th) Volume by Week Northbound South of Turnpike





PeMS Average Daily (T-Th) Volume by Week Northbound North of Fairview



PeMS Average Daily (T-Th) Volume by Week Southbound North of Fairview



Freeway Ramp Volumes

Traffic volumes at the on and off-ramps in the project area were collected for the mid-weekdays (i.e. Tuesdays, Wednesday and Thursday) for 32 freeway ramps (Table 3).

Table 3: Freeway Ramp Traffic Counts

Location	Ramp	Count Dates
US 101		
Cathedral Oaks Road	SB Off	October 4-7, 2016
Calle Real	NB On	October 4-6, 2016
Cathedral Oaks Road	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Winchester Canyon Road	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Storke Road	SB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Glen Annie Road	NB On	October 11-13, 2016
Storke Road	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Glen Annie Road	NB Off	October 11-13, 2016
Los Carneros Road	SB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Los Carneros Road	NB On	October 4-6, 2016
Los Carneros Road	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Los Carneros Road	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Fairview Avenue	SB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Fairview Avenue	NB On	October 11-13, 2016
Fairview Avenue	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Fairview Avenue	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Patterson Avenue	SB Off	October 11-13, 2016
Patterson Avenue	NB On	October 4-6, 2016
SR 217	SB On	October 11-13, 2016
SR 217	NB Off	October 11-13, 2016
Patterson Avenue	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Patterson Avenue	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Turnpike Road	SB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Turnpike Road	NB On	October 4-6, 2016
Turnpike Road	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Turnpike Road	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
SR 217		
Hollister Avenue	NB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Hollister Avenue	SB Off	October 4-6, 2016
Hollister Avenue	NB On	October 4-6, 2016
Hollister Avenue	SB On	October 4-6, 2016
Patterson Avenue	SB On	October 11-13, 2016
Patterson Avenue	NB OFf	October 4-6, 2016

Arterial Segment Traffic Counts

Traffic counts for ten arterial segments were derived from intersection turn movement counts (Table 4). New traffic counts were not conducted on local arterials as part of the Goleta Ramp Metering Study because recent counts were available throughout the city from the Goleta Travel Demand Model Update.

Table 4: Arterial Segment Traffic Counts

Road	Location	Count Dates
Cathedral Oaks Road	North of US 101	April 2013
Cathedral Oaks Road	West of Fairview Avenue	April 2013
Glen Annie Road	North of US 101	April 2013
Hollister Avenue	West of Storke Road	April 2013
Hollister Avenue	West of Fairview Avenue	April 2013
Hollister Avenue	East of Turnpike Road	April 2013
Calle Real	West of Fairview Avenue	April 2013
Fairview Avenue	South of Hollister Avenue	April 2013
Patterson Avenue	South of US 101	April 2013
Turnpike Road	South of US 101	April 2013

Arterial Intersections

Peak hour turn movement counts were compiled at nine study intersections (Table 5).

Table 5: Intersection Traffic Counts

No.	Intersection	Count Dates
1	Storke Road and Hollister Avenue	May 21, 2013
2	Los Carneros Road and Hollister Avenue	April 2, 2015
3	Los Carneros Road and Calle Real	April 2, 2015
4	Fairview Avenue and Hollister Avenue	April 8, 2015
5	Fairview Avenue and Calle Real	April 3, 2013
6	Patterson Avenue and Hollister Avenue	April 2, 2013
7	Patterson Avenue and Calle Real	April 2, 2013
8	Turnpike Road and Hollister Avenue	April 2, 2013
9	Turnpike Road and Calle Real	April 2, 2013

New traffic counts were not conducted at intersections as part of the Goleta Ramp Metering Study because counts were available from the Goleta Travel Demand Model Update and the current fee update study. In order to maintain consistency with other ongoing studies in the City of Goleta, the traffic counts from 2013 and 2015 have not been adjusted (Figure 4).



& ASSOCIATES

Traffic Count Summaries

The maximum hourly traffic counts were summarized at each individual location, as an indicator of the maximum volumes that would need to be accommodated by a ramp metering system (Figure 5). The highest on-ramp volumes were recorded at the SB ramp from Storke Road, with peak hour volumes of 1,490 in the AM (7 - 9) and 1,270 in the PM (4 - 6). Other high onramp volumes were also southbound in the PM peak period, from Los Carneros Road (1,010), Fairview Avenue (970), Patterson Avenue (940) and SR 217 (920). Based on field observations, the volumes from SR 217 and Patterson may be constrained by queues during the PM peak hour, with actual demand being higher than the counted throughput.

The mainline freeway and ramp counts were also averaged and adjusted and used to create a balanced flow map from one end of the corridor to another, representing typical weekday conditions (Figure 6). These balanced volumes are used as input to the operations analysis.

2.3. PASSENGER OCCUPANCY COUNTS

A manual vehicle occupancy count survey was conducted on northbound SR 217 upstream of the US 101 junction on September 27 and 28, 2016 during the AM and PM peak periods. The occupancy counts were classified as:

- 1. Single Occupant Vehicle
- 2. HOV 2+
- 3. Motorcycle
- 4. Heavy Vehicle
- 5. Bus
- 6. Shuttle
- 7. Unknown

2.4. FREEWAY MAINLINE TRAVEL TIMES

GPS equipped floating cars were used to collect speed, delay and travel time data on the US 101 and SR 217 mainlines. The travel time surveys were conducted on October 4, 5, and 6, 2016. These data were summarized in approximately 15 minute intervals during both the AM and PM peak periods.

2.5. SAFETY DATA

The most recent available three years of collision records for US 101 and SR 217 were acquired from the Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System (TASAS). The TASAS data cover crashes that occurred 2013 – 2015 and represent the only reliable data source used by Caltrans for safety analysis.

Figure 5: Maximum Peak Hour Volumes



Figure 6: Balanced Daily and Peak Hour Volumes on US 101



For visualization purposes only, less comprehensive geocoded collision data from the Statewide Integrated Traffic System (SWITRS) for injury and fatal collisions were acquired from UC Berkeley's Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS). Over the 2013-2015 period, the SWITRS system reported 233 crash records along the study corridors. These data were not used for determination of crash causation or to support recommendations.

2.6. FIELD OBSERVATIONS

Members of the study team surveyed peak period conditions in October 2016 and February 2017. The observations included duration of congestion and the extents of congestion beyond the study area. The observations verified the significant congestion on southbound US 101 associated with the closely-spaced merges of on-ramps from SR 217 and Patterson Avenue.

Additional observations by SBCAG staff and officials have noted congestion on off-ramps in the corridor, in particular the northbound off-ramp to Storke Road/Glen Annie Road.

3. EXISTING BASELINE ANALYSIS

The existing baseline analysis uses the data described in Section 2 to describe operating conditions on freeways, ramps and streets in the study area. Safety and transit conditions are also described.

3.1. FREEWAY MAINLINE OPERATIONS

Travel Times

Speed contour charts were created based on the floating car surveys (Appendix A). The speed contour charts show the measured speed in each segment of the freeways on each of the three survey days. The speed charts help to identify bottleneck locations, lengths of queues, and the duration of congestion in each location.

The following general observations were made:

AM Peak Period

- ► US 101 Northbound: Minimal congestion
- ▶ US 101 Southbound: Two bottlenecks are apparent. The first is at the Los Carneros Road Interchange which begins around 7:30 AM and ends around 8:00 AM. Congestion extends to the Storke Road interchange. The second bottleneck is near the Turnpike Road interchange which begins around 7:30 AM and ends around 8:15 AM. Congestion can extend to the Patterson Avenue off-ramp.
- SR 217 Eastbound: AM congestion appears to start at about 7:45 AM and ends 45-60 minutes later. The most congested area was getting on US 101 between the SR 217 merge and the Turnpike Road off-ramp.
- SR 217 Westbound: Minimal congestion between Turnpike Road and Patterson Avenue between 7:30 AM and 8:00 AM. Speeds around 50-55 mph.

PM Peak Period

- ▶ **US 101 Northbound**: Isolated locations of sporadic congestion within the study area.
- ▶ US 101 Southbound: Congestion starts between 4 and 4:45 PM and lasts until 6:15-6:30 PM. The congestion is the worst at around 5:15 PM when it stretches from Turnpike Road back to the Los Carneros Road Interchange.
- ► SR 217 Eastbound: PM congestion starts between 4 and 4:45 PM and lasts until 6:15-6:30 PM. The congestion is the worst at around 5:15 PM when it stretches back to the Hollister Interchange.
- **SR 217 Westbound**: Minimal congestion.

Freeway Spot Speed Surveys

The Wavetronix data collection also included speed information at the specific data collection points (Table 6). Median speed (50th percentile) is used to represent average rather than mean speed, as several very fast speeding vehicles can skew the mean to a value that does not represent typical driving

IN THIS SECTION>>

- Existing freeway operations
- Local intersection operations
- Transit service

conditions. Median speeds on US 101 were 67 to 70 mph, with 85 percent of vehicles driving at 77 mph or less. The speeds on SR 217 at Sandspit Road were slower, as this location is near the endpoint of freeway operations.

Table 6: Freeway Spot Speed Surveys from Wavetronix Units

Freeway Segment	Median Speed (mph)	85 th Percentile Speed (mph)
US 101 at Turnpike Road NB	70	77
US 101 at Turnpike Road SB	67	77
US 101 at Cathedral Oaks NB	n/a	n/a
US 101 at Cathedral Oaks SB	67	76
SR 217 at Sandspit Road NB	53	64
SR 217 at Sandspit Road SB	59	67

Freeway Level of Service

Freeway operations along US 101 and SR 217 were evaluated using traffic density to estimate the level of service (LOS) a given segment is likely to experience during the peak period (Table 7).

	Table	7: Freeway	/ Mainline	Segment	Level	of Service	Criteria
--	-------	------------	------------	---------	-------	------------	----------

Level of Service	Maximum Density (passenger cars per mile per lane)
A	≤11
В	18
С	26
D	35
E	45
F	> 45

Source: Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, 2010.

The Highway Capacity Manual specifies that density is the appropriate measure of LOS rather than speed, so a segment with dense traffic may have a lower LOS even with a relatively high speed. Density is an expression of the number of passenger car equivalents per mile per lane (pce/m/l). Large vehicles such as buses and trucks are given a higher weight in density calculations to better capture their impact on traffic flow.

The densities were calculated directly from measured data rather than using an operational analysis model. The densities for each segment are the peak hour volumes (as shown in Figure 6, page 16), adjusted to passenger car equivalents (pce) using truck percentages reported by Caltrans, divided by number of lanes, and divided by the average speeds measured from the floating car surveys (as shown in the speed contour maps). The resulting units of pce per hour divided by lanes and miles per hour are pce per mile per lane.

The level of service results are generally consistent with the speed results and visual observations (Table 8 and Table 9).

Table 8: Freeway Density and Level of Service, US 101

		АМ			PM		
Location	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS	
US 101 Northbound							
Turnpike Road On-Ramp to Patterson Avenue Off-Ramp	59.4	30.6	E	40.9	40.7	F	
Patterson Avenue Off-Ramp to SR 217 Off- Ramp	63.3	24.1	E	61.0	21.8	С	
SR 217 Off-Ramp to Patterson Avenue On- Ramp	65.8	16.8	В	62.3	17.3	В	
Patterson Avenue On-Ramp to Fairview Avenue Off-Ramp	66.3	18.7	С	63.8	19.5	С	
Fairview Avenue Off-Ramp to Fairview On- Ramp	66.7	13.0	В	40.0	25.0	С	
Fairview Avenue On-Ramp to Los Carneros Road Off-Ramp	61.4	20.8	С	44.2	37.9	F	
Los Carneros Road Off-Ramp to Los Carneros Road On-Ramp	64.1	14.1	В	35.6	39.9	F	
Los Carneros Road On-Ramp to Glen Annie Road / Storke Road Off-Ramp	61.5	15.0	В	47.1	35.1	F	
Glen Annie Road / Storke Road Off-Ramp to Glen Annie Road / Storke Road On-Ramp	64.7	6.2	А	64.1	6.7	A	
Glen Annie Road / Storke Road On-Ramp to Winchester Canyon Road Off-Ramp	66.2	6.5	А	66.7	14.9	В	
Winchester Canyon Road Off-Ramp to Cathedral Oaks Road On-Ramp	67.4	5.0	А	65.7	11.7	В	
US 101 Southbound							
Cathedral Oaks Rd Off-Ramp to Cathedral Oaks Rd On-Ramp	65.0	13.3	В	65.4	7.9	А	
Cathedral Oaks Rd On-Ramp to Glen Annie Road / Storke Road Off-Ramp	65.4	14.6	В	67.3	9.0	А	
Glen Annie Road / Storke Road Off-Ramp to Glen Annie Road / Storke Road On-Ramp	42.0	19.8	С	64.6	8.1	A	
Glen Annie Road / Storke Road On-Ramp to Los Carneros Road Off-Ramp	18.6	57.8	F	64.4	11.9	В	
Los Carneros Road Off-Ramp to Los Carneros Road On-Ramp	22.9	51.8	F	33.2	29.0	E	
Los Carneros Road On-Ramp to Fairview Ave Off-Ramp	37.5	38.2	F	31.9	47.3	F	
Fairview Ave Off-Ramp to Fairview Ave On- Ramp	50.3	33.8	E	26.2	49.6	F	

Table 8: Freeway Density and Level of Service, US 101

		AM		PM			
Location	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS	
Fairview Ave On-Ramp to Patterson Ave Off- Ramp	52.1	22.8	С	19.4	60.1	F	
Patterson Ave Off-Ramp to SR 217 On-Ramp	38.6	23.0	С	12.7	101.1	F	
SR 217 On-Ramp to Patterson Ave On-Ramp	26.8	42.5	F	16.5	86.1	F	
Patterson Ave On-Ramp to Turnpike Road Off-Ramp	30.9	45.1	F	28.2	63.5	F	

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017 – pc/m/l is passenger car equivalent per mile per lane

Table 9: Freeway Density and Level of Service, SR 217

		AM		PM		
Location	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS	Speed (mph)	Density (pc/m/l)	LOS
SR 217 Eastbound						
Sandspit Road On-Ramp to Hollister Ave Off- Ramp	56.6	3.1	А	57.0	9.4	А
Hollister Ave Off-Ramp to Hollister Ave On- Ramp	59.2	2.4	А	46.6	8.4	А
Hollister Ave On-Ramp to Patterson Ave Off- Ramp	57.6	6.5	A	5.1	118.7	F
SR 217 Westbound						
Patterson Ave On-Ramp to Hollister Ave Off- Ramp	59.3	15.0	В	57.3	8.5	А
Hollister Ave Off-Ramp to Hollister Ave On- Ramp	65.6	7.7	А	60.2	3.7	А
Hollister Ave On-Ramp to Sandspit Road Off- Ramp	65.6	9.8	A	59.4	4.8	А

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017 – pc/m/l is passenger car equivalent per mile per lane

In the northbound direction, LOS F densities were measured during the PM peak hour approaching the Patterson Avenue off-ramp and the Glen Annie/Storke off-ramp, although the freeway speeds were generally above 35 mph. In the southbound direction, the LOS F locations were consistent with the locations where slow speeds were measured. In the AM peak hour, the LOS F densities occurred approaching the Los Carneros interchange where the through lanes are reduced from 3 to 2, and after the SR 217 on-ramp. In the PM peak hour, LOS F conditions were all related to the backup from the SR 217 and Patterson on-ramp merges.

The LOS on SR 217 was always LOS B or better, except for the segment approaching the US 101 merge during the PM peak hour where LOS F densities were measured.

Vehicle Occupancy

Manual observations of vehicle types and number of occupants (for passenger cars) were collected for two days on northbound SR 217 near the Hollister off-ramp. The average values excluding unknown vehicles are listed in Table 10. High-occupancy vehicles (HOV) and buses accounted for 13.4 percent of all vehicles in the AM peak period and 13.7 percent of PM peak period vehicles.

Vehicle Class	AM Peak Period (7-9 AM)	PM Peak Period (4-7 PM)
Auto – Single occupant	83.0%	84.9%
Auto –Two or more occupants (HOV)	11.4%	13.1%
Motorcycle	0.4%	1.0%
Heavy Vehicles (trucks)	3.2%	0.4%
Bus/Shuttle	2.0%	0.6%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%

Table 10: Vehicle Occupancies on Northbound SR 217

Source: Manual observations by Metro Traffic Group, September 27 and 28, 2016.

3.2. INTERSECTION OPERATIONS

Study intersections were evaluated to determine existing average delays and level of service. Intersections in the City of Goleta have typically been evaluated using an Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) method which provided a standard measure of capacity usage and impacts of added traffic. For this study, a *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) operations analysis is used as it also provides information on average vehicle delays on each approach and for the intersection as a whole. This provides the information required to estimate system delay for the various ramp metering alternatives. The level of service thresholds associated with each level of delay are summarized in Table 11.

Table	11:	Level	of	Service	Definition	for	Signalized	Intersections
-------	-----	-------	----	---------	------------	-----	------------	---------------

Level of Service	Description	Vehicle Delay (seconds per vehicle)
А	Very low delay	≤ 10
В	Minimal delay	> 10 - 20
С	Acceptable delay	> 20 - 35
D	Approaching unstable delay	> 35 – 55
E	Unstable operations and substantial delay	> 55 - 80
F	Excessive delay	> 80

Source: Transportation Research Board, Highway Capacity Manual, 2000 and 2010.

The analysis was conducted using the HCM 2000 methodology with Synchro 9.0 software. The HCM 2000 analysis was used as the HCM 2010 implementation in Synchro software did not properly evaluate the lane configurations for all of the Goleta study intersections.

The roundabout intersection at Los Carneros and Calle Real was analyzed using the HCM 2010 methodology which was the most current HCM methodology at the time that the methodologies for this study were established. It is recommended that further analysis of this roundabout location apply the Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition (HCM 6) which includes updated critical and follow-up headway values that are more in line with California single-lane roundabout operating characteristics.

Typical actuated signal timing parameters were assumed for minimum green times, yellow and all-red clearance times. The cycle lengths were assumed to be optimized based on traffic demand.

The existing operations analysis (Table 12) indicates that the intersection of Fairview Avenue and Calle Real operates at LOS E during the PM peak hour, indicating that it is at capacity. The other study intersections currently operate at LOS D or better during peak hours. This implies that the intersections are busy, but most vehicles can get through the intersections without waiting for more than one cycle. Individual movements at certain intersections may have higher delays than the intersection averages.

					Existing		
ID	Intersection	Control	Hour	LOS	Delay (sec)		
1	Storke Road and Hollister Avenue	Signalized	AM	D	45.6		
			PM	D	48.0		
2	Los Carneros Road and Hollister	Signalized	AM	D	38.7		
	Avenue		PM	D	42.2		
3	Los Carneros Road and Calle Real	Roundabout	AM	А	7.0		
			PM	В	10.8		
4	Fairview Avenue and Hollister	and Hollister Signalized	AM	С	33.9		
	Avenue		PM	D	47.8		
5	Fairview Avenue and Calle Real	Signalized	AM	D	39.1		
			PM	E	56.2		
6	Patterson Avenue and Hollister	Signalized	AM	D	35.5		
	Avenue		PM	D	52.9		
7	Patterson Avenue and Calle Real	Signalized	AM	С	24.4		
			PM	С	28.1		
8	Turnpike Road and Hollister Avenue	Signalized	AM	D	50.7		
			PM	D	48.2		
9	Turnpike Road and Calle Real	Signalized	AM	D	38.5		
			PM	D	52.7		

Table 12: Existing Intersection Operations

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017

3.3. SAFETY EVALUATION

Official Caltrans statistics reported by the Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System (TASAS) state that US 101 mainline between Turnpike Road and Cathedral Oaks Road had 287 reported crashes during the three year period between April 2012 and March 2015. That indicates a crash rate of 0.56 per MVMT (million vehicle miles traveled) which compares with the statewide average for similar facilities of 0.50 per MVMT. The average rate of severe crashes was 0.17 per MVMT which is exactly on par with the statewide average.

SR 217 had 28 reported crashes for the same period which indicates a crash rate of 0.58 per MVMT which compares to the statewide average of 0.52 per MVMT on similar facilities. Severe crashes were reported at a rate of 0.19 per MVMT comparted with the statewide average of 0.18.

The TASAS data represent the only reliable data source used by Caltrans for safety analysis. For visualization purposes only, less comprehensive geocoded collision data from the Statewide Integrated Traffic System (SWITRS) from the Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS) are mapped and shown in Appendix B. The TIMS data indicate higher numbers of fatal or injury collisions (averaging more than one collision per year) at several ramps, with the highest volume (three average per year) at the southbound on-ramp from Storke Road/Glen Annie Road.

3.4. TRANSIT OPERATIONS

Transit operations may be impacted by changing traffic patterns for routes using or crossing US 101 and/or SR 217. Therefore, it is crucial to consider HOV bypass lanes at metered ramps to minimize impacts to transit operations when the ramp is used as part of a transit route. An inventory of routes using or passing through potentially impacted interchanges are noted in this section and are shown in Figure 7 through Figure 10.

Santa Barbara Metropolitan Transit District (MTD)

Route 6

Route 6 uses Hollister Avenue with 20 minute headways during peak periods. 29 westbound and 36 eastbound weekday trips are made through the Hollister Avenue/SR 217 interchange.

Route 7

Route 7 uses Fairview Avenue with 30 minute headways during peak periods. 26 westbound and 25 eastbound weekday trips are made through the Fairview Avenue/US 101 interchange.

Route 10

Route 10 uses Glen Annie/Storke Road with >60 minute headways during peak periods. 5 westbound and 6 eastbound weekday trips are made through the Glen Annie/Storke Road/US 101 interchange.

Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 25

Figure 7: Transit Routes Using US 101/SR 217 and Interchanges



Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 26

Figure 8: Santa Barbara MTD Route 12x Map



Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 27

Figure 9: Santa Barbara MTD Route 15x Map



Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 28

Figure 10: Santa Barbara RTD Route 24x Map



Route 11

Route 11 uses Hollister Avenue with 20 minute headways during peak periods. 39 westbound and 38 eastbound weekday trips are made through the Hollister Avenue/SR 217 interchange.

Route 12x

Route 12x uses both US 101 and SR 217 as well as both the US 101/SR 217 interchange and the Hollister Avenue/SR 217 interchange (Figure x). Headways are 30 minutes during peak periods. 18 westbound and 20 eastbound weekday trips are made.

Route 15x

Route 15x uses both US 101 and SR 217 as well as both the US 101/SR 217 interchange and the Glen Annie Road/Stork Road/US 101 interchange. Headways are approximately 30 minutes during peak periods. 37 westbound and 35 eastbound weekday trips are made.

Route 23

Route 23 uses Glen Annie/Storke Road with 60 minute headways during peak periods. 17 weekday trips are made in each direction through the Glen Annie/Storke Road/US 101 interchange.

Route 24x

Route 12x uses both US 101 and SR 217 as well as both the US 101/SR 217 interchange and the Sandspit Road/SR 217 interchange. Headways are 30 minutes during peak periods. 34 westbound and 36 eastbound weekday trips are made.

Route 25

Route 25 uses Cathedral Oaks Road and circulates along Winchester Canyon Road and Calle Real within the Cathedral Oaks Road interchange impact area. Headways are 30 minutes during peak periods. 14 westbound and 25 eastbound weekday trips are made.

Clean Air Express

Lompoc to Goleta

There are 5 daily southbound trips from Lompoc in the AM peak period and 5 northbound trips to Lompoc in the PM peak. 3 of those trips use the Cathedral Oaks Road/US 101 interchange, and 2 use the Glen Annie Road/Storke Road/US 101 interchange.

Lompoc to Santa Barbara

There are 2 daily southbound trips from Lompoc in the AM peak period and 2 northbound trips to Lompoc in the PM peak period. These trips do not use any interchanges in Goleta.

Santa Maria to Goleta

There are 3 daily southbound trips from Santa Maria in the AM peak period and 3 northbound trips to Santa Maria in the PM peak. These trips use the Cathedral Oaks Road/US 101 interchange.

Santa Maria to Santa Barbara

There are 2 daily southbound trips from Santa Maria in the AM peak period and 2 northbound trips to Santa Maria in the PM peak period. One of these trips uses the Turnpike Road/US 101 interchange, and one continues through the study area on US 101.

Santa Ynez Valley to Goleta and Santa Barbara

There are 2 daily southbound trips from Buellton in the AM peak period and 2 northbound trips in the PM peak period. One of these trips uses the Cathedral Oaks Road/US 101 interchange, and one uses the Turnpike Road/US 101 interchange.

Coastal Express

The Coastal Express runs 8 buses each weekday north to Goleta, and 6 south to Ventura. One additional AM trip on the Santa Barbara line also continues to UCSB. These trips use both US 101 and SR 217 through the study area, as well as the Turnpike Road/US 101 interchange, the Patterson Avenue/US 101 interchange and the Hollister Avenue/SR 217 interchange.

3.5. CALTRANS STUDIES

Several Caltrans studies provided information for the Goleta ramp metering evaluation.

South Coast 101 HOV Lanes Project

The South Coast (SC) 101 HOV Lanes project will add one high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane in each direction on US 101 from 0.2 mile south of Bailard Avenue the City of Carpinteria to Sycamore Creek in the City of Santa Barbara. An extensive technical analysis and environmental review of the project was conducted starting in 2008, with a final revised environmental impact report completed in 2017. The traffic technical studies for the SC101 HOV Lanes project provided a basis, methodology and operations model (FREQ software) for the evaluation of freeway operations in this ramp metering study.

Ramp Metering Development Plan

The Caltrans 2017 Ramp Metering Development Plan (February 2018) provides general information and specific priorities for implementation of ramp metering throughout the state of California. The report lists potential benefits and conceptual costs associated with ramp metering. For Caltrans District 5, two ramps in the Goleta area are listed in the plan. The southbound on-ramp from Patterson Avenue was listed as partially constructed (now operational). The southbound on-ramp from SR 217 is listed as a high priority location.

Ramp Metering Design Manual

The Caltrans Ramp Metering Design Manual (April 2016) is a comprehensive document covering Caltrans' ramp metering policies, design standards, and practices for new or existing ramp meter installations. The design manual was used to determine the appropriate numbers of lanes and locations for ramp metering control equipment, and therefore the amount of vehicle storage that could be assumed on each on-ramp.

4. EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

This section provides results of the analysis of ramp metering alternatives in the City of Goleta study area with both 2016 base year and 2035 future traffic volumes.

4.1. ALTERNATIVES

Several alternative ramp metering strategies were proposed for evaluation. The study alternatives are summarized in Figure 11:

- Alternative 1: Metering at Patterson SB on-ramp only
- ► Alternative 2: Metering at SR 217 SB on-ramp and Patterson SB on-ramp only
- Alternative 3: Metering at all on-ramps
- Alternative 4: Metering at Hollister on-ramps to SR 217 only
- ► Alternative 5: Metering at all on-ramps north of SR 217

Alternative 1 represents the ramp meter that has been installed on the southbound on-ramp from Patterson Avenue and was operational as of February, 2018.

Alternative 2 would include the existing ramp meter at Patterson and a proposed meter at SR 217, focusing on the current maximum congestion points.

Alternative 3 would meter all on-ramps in the study area, both northbound and southbound.

Alternatives 4 and 5 would test if traffic operations could be improved by metering on-ramps prior to the peak congestion points rather than directly at the peak congestion points. During initial testing, it was determined that Alternative 4, metering on the Hollister on-ramps to SR 217, would not provide significant changes to freeway operations. Therefore, the evaluation focuses on Alternatives 1, 2, 3 and 5.

4.2. METHODOLOGY

The evaluation of the ramp metering alternatives involved several modeling steps:

- A freeway operations model using the FREQ software was used to identify the most effective rates for ramp metering and to report freeway speeds and ramp meter delays.
- Local streets were evaluated using the Goleta traffic forecasting model which can predict vehicle diversions to alternative routes that would be induced by delays at ramp meters.
- An intersection operations analysis was conducted at selected indicator intersections to identify delay impacts caused by traffic diversion.

IN THIS SECTION>>

- Alternative ramp metering strategies
- Evaluation methodologies
- Effects of alternative ramp metering strategies on base year operations
- Future conditions

Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632	
May 8, 2018	Page 33	

Figure 11: Goleta Ramp Metering Alternatives


Freeway Analysis

A simulation model using the FREQ software¹ was calibrated to replicate observed travel speeds in each segment of the US 101 study corridor during each 15-minute section of the AM and PM peak periods. The FREQ model was then applied with different on-ramps designated for ramp metering. The FREQ model optimizes the metering rates to best improve freeway operations, subject to typical Caltrans minimum rates of 240 vehicles per hour and maximum rates of 900 vehicles per lane per hour. The FREQ model was set to control queues at ramp meters so that no queues would spill back past the entrance to the on-ramp and affect local street flows.

The FREQ model reports freeway speeds, total vehicle-hours of travel on the freeway and on-ramp delays at meters for each 15-minute period and for the total peak period.

Source of FREQ Model

The FREQ model used for this study is the FREQ model originally developed and calibrated for the South Coast 101 (SC101) HOV Traffic Study in 2009. Input assumptions on speeds and capacities were maintained from the SC101 study for consistency. The lane geometries, input traffic volumes and observed speeds and queues were updated to 2016 conditions for this ramp metering study.

Calibration of FREQ Model

Before its application for future operations analysis, FREQ must be calibrated to reflect local conditions. The calibration methodology is consistent with the SC101 HOV Traffic Study and the Caltrans Freeway Analysis Manual. The calibration was performed by iteratively running FREQ under the existing condition and comparing the model predicted queues and travel times with those observed in the field. Capacity adjustments are made to the freeway sections until the congestion onset time, congestion clearance time, and length of queues match observed field data.

Observed corridor travel times and simulated travel times were compared for each 15 minute time interval during the AM and PM peak periods (Figure 12 to Figure 15). The model generally matches the peaking characteristics of the observed

Additional calibration comparisons including speed contours, percent of time intervals within 15% of observed travel times, and chi-square differences of the simulated versus observed speed are presented in Appendix C. The chi-square comparison is a general measure of goodness of fit and is calculated by taking the square of the differences between observed and simulated speeds, divided by observed speeds. Values are computed for each freeway segment and each time interval. The lower the chi-square value, the better the fit between the predicted and observed speed.

Overall, simulated speeds match reasonably well with observed speeds.

¹ Software version FREQ 12 PE Release 3.02



Figure 12: Observed and Simulated Corridor Travel Times, AM Peak Period, Northbound US 101

Figure 13: Observed and Simulated Corridor Travel Times, AM Peak Period, Southbound US 101





Figure 14: Observed and Simulated Corridor Travel Times, PM Peak Period, Northbound US 101

Figure 15: Observed and Simulated Corridor Travel Times, PM Peak Period, Southbound US 101



Local Street Analysis

The Goleta traffic forecasting model uses the Visum software to estimate traffic volumes on all major freeways and streets in the Goleta area based on land uses and the attributes of the road segments. The model was calibrated to 2013 conditions, and a 2035 General Plan Update (GPU) buildout forecast scenario was completed in September, 2017.

A special delay function was programmed and added to the Goleta model to represent the delay characteristics at metered on-ramps. For each scenario with ramp metering, the appropriate on-ramps were given an attribute that would indicate that the steeper delay function should be used. The capacities were set for each individual metered on-ramp for each scenario based on the average peak hour metering rates determined through the FREQ analysis.

The predicted volumes on each road segment were used, along with the average segment capacities coded in the model, to determine the congested speed for each segment. The congested travel times were calculated based on the ratio of volume to capacity, and applying formulas from the *Planning and Preliminary Engineering Applications Guide* to the 6th Edition of the *Highway Capacity Manual* (2017). The segment length, speed and volume were then used to calculate the total vehicle-hours of travel on each segment.

The vehicle hours were summed for all segments in the study area, excluding the freeway and ramp segments as their delays were calculated during the FREQ analysis. Factors of 1.87 for the AM and 2.74 for the PM were used to convert peak hour vehicle-hours into peak period vehicle hours, based on the proportions of existing peak period/peak hour traffic counts on the freeway corridor.

Intersection Analysis

Existing (2013 and 2015) intersection turn movement counts were used as a base for the intersection analysis for the nine study intersections. For alternatives and/or future conditions, the adjusted intersection turn movements were estimated by applying the increment of the 2013 base year model validation scenario to the alternative and/or future scenario to the 2013 traffic count:

Alternative Turn Movement = 2013 Base Year Traffic Count + (Alternative Model Turn Movement – 2013 Base Year Model Turn Movement)

Study intersections were evaluated to determine average delays and level of service. As described earlier, Intersections in the City of Goleta have typically been evaluated using an Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) method which provided a standard measure of capacity usage and impacts of added traffic, but for this study, a *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) operations analysis is used as it also provides information on average vehicle delays on each approach and for the intersection as a whole. This provides the information required to estimate system delay for the various ramp metering alternatives.

4.3. BASE YEAR EVALUATION

Ramp metering was tested using 2016 base year traffic volumes for both northbound and southbound US 101 during the AM and PM peak periods. The testing indicated that ramp metering would only be effective during the PM peak period in the southbound direction. Therefore, the base year evaluation focuses on the PM peak period. The evaluation of 2035 conditions considers metering during both peak periods and in both directions on the freeway.

Number of Lanes

The Caltrans Ramp Meter Design Manual specifies that all metered ramps should include a bypass lane for high-occupancy vehicles (HOVs). Two general purpose lanes should be provided for hourly volumes greater than 900.

In Goleta, all southbound on-ramps except Cathedral Oaks have hourly volumes exceeding 900 during the PM peak hour. Therefore, two general purpose lanes plus an HOV bypass lane would be recommended at all ramps except Cathedral Oaks.

The physical layout of each on-ramp was evaluated to determine the difficulty of providing the recommended number of lanes. The Turnpike on-ramp is very constrained, and would be difficult to provide three total approach lanes. Therefore, this ramp was assumed to have one general purpose lane and one HOV bypass lane. At the other ramps, it appears to be physically feasible to provide two general purpose lanes and one HOV bypass, but a certain amount of construction work would be required. For a short-term analysis, it is assumed that these ramps provide two general purpose lanes and no HOV bypass, which would be more feasible to implement in the short term.

The numbers of lanes on each ramp are summarized in Table 13. Approximate costs to construct the recommended numbers of lanes will be provided later in this study.

Table 13: Southbound Ramp Meter Lanes

Ramp	Maximum Hourly Volume	Recommended Lanes	Short-Term Assumed Lanes	Maximum Vehicle Storage
Cathedral Oaks SB On	550	1 GP + HOV	1 GP + HOV	17
Storke SB On	1,490	2 GP + HOV	2 GP	72
Los Carneros SB On	1,010	2 GP + HOV	2 GP	80
Fairview SB On	970	2 GP + HOV	2 GP	46
SR 217 SB On	930	2 GP + HOV	2 GP	152
Patterson SB On	940	2 GP + HOV	2 GP	80
Turnpike SB On	910	2 GP + HOV	1 GP + HOV	44

The numbers of vehicles that could be stored in the assumed lanes are also listed. The storage is based on the length of ramp lanes behind the probable location of the ramp meter stop bar, divided by 30 feet per vehicle. The metering plans would be set so that the queues would not exceed these storage distances for any 15-minute analysis period.

Freeway Operations

Each of the ramp metering alternatives is projected to decrease peak congestion and increase freeway travel speeds (Figure 16). The maximum metering plan, Alternative 3, would have the largest beneficial impact on freeway speeds, increasing average peak period speeds by 27 percent.



Figure 16: Base Year Average Freeway Speeds, US 101 Southbound PM Peak Period

Total Vehicle Hours

Total vehicle hours includes the hours spent driving on the freeway, delay caused by metered ramps and vehicle hours on the local street system (Table 14 and Figure 17).

Table 14: Base Year PM Peak Period Vehicle Hours

Vehicle-Hours	Existing	Alternative 1: Patterson	Alternative 2: SR 217/ Patterson	Alternative 3: All	Alternative 5: All N. of SR 217
Freeway (change from no meters)	1,510	1,380 (-8.6%)	1,140 (-24.5%)	1,070 (-29.1%)	1,190 (-21.2%)
Ramp Delay	0	170	410	490	390
Subtotal Freeway/Ramps (change from no meters)	1,510	1,550 (+2.7%)	1,550 (+2.7%)	1,560 (+3.3%)	1,580 (+4.6%)
Local Streets (change from no meters)	5,020	5,020 (+0.0%)	5,060 (+0.8%)	5,270 (+5.0%)	5,150 (+2.6%)
TOTAL (change from no meters)	6,530	6,570 (+0.6%)	6,610 (+1.2%)	6,830 (+4.6%)	6,730 (+3.1%)





While the maximum metering plan, Alternative 3, would have the maximum benefit on the freeway, it would also introduce the most on-ramp delay. The diversions on local streets induced by ramp meter delays would also increase total vehicle hours on local streets. In this analysis, the total vehicle-hours would be higher than existing for all of the ramp metering strategies.

Intersection Operations

Operations were evaluated at the nine study intersections for base year traffic levels and with traffic diversions induced by each of the ramp metering alternatives (Table 15).

ID	Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	Existing	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 5
1	Storke Rd. and	Signalized	AM	D (45.6)				
	Hollister Ave.		PM	D (48.0)	D (48.0)	D (47.9)	D (46.3)	D (46.6)
2	Los Carneros Rd.	Signalized	AM	D (38.7)				
	and Hollister Ave.		PM	D (42.2)	D (42.2)	D (40.9)	D (43.6)	D (46.5)
3	Los Carneros Rd.	Roundabo	AM	A (7.0)				
	and Calle Real	Ut	PM	B (10.8)	B (10.8)	B (10.7)	B (12.8)	B (12.8)
4	Fairview Ave. and	Signalized	AM	C (33.9)				
	Hollister Ave.		PM	D (47.8)	D (47.8)	D (44.2)	D (44.2)	D (44.7)
5	Fairview Ave. and	Signalized	AM	D (39.1)				
	Calle Real		PM	E (56.2)	E (56.2)	E (71.9)	E (60.4)	E (68.7)
6	Patterson Ave. and	Signalized	AM	D (35.5)				
	Hollister Ave.		PM	D (52.9)	D (53.1)	D (54.8)	E (58.3)	D (53.3)
7	Patterson Ave. and	Signalized	AM	C (24.4)				
	Calle Real		PM	C (28.1)	C (28.1)	C (28.1)	C (30.1)	C (30.5)
8	Turnpike Rd. and	Signalized	AM	D (50.7)				
	Hollister Ave.		PM	D (48.6)	D (48.6)	E (58.5)	E (64.6)	D (49.0)
9	Turnpike Rd. and	Signalized	AM	D (38.5)				
	Calle Real		PM	D (52.7)	D (52.0)	D (51.8)	D (53.6)	D (53.1)

Table 15: Base Year Intersection Operations with Metering Alternatives

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017

The maximum ramp metering alternative, Alternative 3, would cause diversions that would change the LOS from D to E at two intersections on Hollister Avenue, at Patterson Avenue and at Turnpike Road. Alternative 2, with meters at SR 217 and Patterson Avenue, would cause the intersection of Turnpike Road and Hollister Avenue to change from LOS D to LOS E. The ramp metering alternatives would cause delay increases at other study intersections, but the LOS would remain the same as existing conditions.

4.4. FUTURE YEAR EVALUATION

Traffic forecasts for 2035 General Plan Update (GPU) buildout conditions were projected using the Goleta traffic forecast model. Growth factors for each freeway and ramp segment were obtained from the model forecasts and applied to the 2016 base year freeway and ramp counts. The ramp metering alternatives were evaluated using these 2035 forecast volumes.

2035 Traffic Forecasts

Traffic forecasts and growth from 2016 base year traffic counts were summarized on selected study area segments (Table 16).

	Å	AM Peak Ho	ur	PM Peak Hour			
Location	2016	2035	Change	2016	2035	Change	
NORTHBOUND							
US 101 S. of Turnpike	5,130	5,830	+14%	5,210	5,460	+5%	
NB Off to Patterson	740	790	+7%	850	840		
NB Off to SR 217	1,260	1,570	+25%	780	930	+19%	
NB Off to Fairview	1,070	1,190	+11%	760	870	+14%	
US 101 S. of Los Carneros	2,650	3,190	+20%	3,340	3,830	+15%	
NB Off to Los Carneros	950	1,070	+13%	540	540		
NB Off to Glen Annie	1,190	1,440	+21%	1,600	1,710	+7%	
US 101 N. of Cathedral Oaks	550	800	+45%	1,530	2,090	+37%	
SOUTHBOUND							
US 101 N. of Cathedral Oaks	1,360	1,580	+16%	710	1,250	+76%	
SB On from Storke	1,340	1,530	+14%	1,240	1,620	+31%	
SB On from Los Carneros	410	550	+34%	990	970		
US 101 S. of Los Carneros	3,000	3,480	+16%	2,920	3,780	+29%	
SB On from Fairview	820	860	+5%	940	920		
SB On from SR 217	560	710	+27%	690	1,000	+45%	
SB On from Patterson	840	890	+6%	930	960	+3%	
US 101 S. of Patterson	4,390	4,790	+9%	4,650	5,660	+22%	
SB On from Turnpike	810	970	+20%	650	640		
US 101 S. of Turnpike	4,720	5,240	+11%	4,800	5,730	+19%	

Table 16: 2035 Traffic Forecasts on Selected Segments

In the AM peak hour, the highest growth rates for northbound traffic are projected for the off-ramps to SR 217 and Glen Annie/Storke. A high growth rate of 45 percent is projected for external traffic to areas north of Goleta, but the total increase of 250 peak hour vehicles would not be as high as the increases in ramp traffic to Goleta. In the southbound direction, the largest increases in AM peak hour traffic are

projected from SR external areas north of Goleta (+220), Storke/Glen Annie (+190), Turnpike (+160) and SR 217 (+150).

The largest contributor to growth in northbound PM peak hour traffic would be external traffic north of Goleta (+560) and the off-ramp to SR 217 (+150). Southbound PM traffic would be primarily impacted by external traffic (+540), Storke/Glen Annie (+380) and SR 217 (+310). Small increases or even decreases are projected at several other on-ramps due to projected congestion and diversion.

The traffic forecasts do not include potential increases in ridersharing that could be induced by the provision of HOV bypass lanes at ramp meters. The bypass lanes would reduce the travel time for drivers and passengers in high-occupancy vehicles compared to single-occupant autos and could induce changes in mode choice towards ridesharing.

Number of Lanes

On-ramps were evaluated assuming implementation of the recommended lanes listed in Table 13.

Freeway Operations

Freeway operations were evaluated for each of the ramp metering alternatives with 2035 volumes.

AM Peak Period

During the AM peak period (between 7:00 and 9:00 AM), there would be little congestion forecast in the northbound direction with 2035 volumes. Therefore, relatively high speeds can be maintained without or with ramp metering (Figure 18).





In the southbound direction, there would be some congestion with speeds averaging 44 mph (Figure 19). The maximum ramp metering alternative, Alternative 3, would allow average speeds to increase by 16 percent to 51 mph.



Figure 19: US 101 Southbound Speeds, 2035 AM Peak Period

PM Peak Period

There would be some congestion in the northbound direction in the 2035 PM peak period, with speeds averaging 46 mph (Figure 20). None of the ramp metering alternatives would significantly increase northbound speeds, with Alternative 3 providing a four percent increase to 48 mph.



Figure 20: US 101 Northbound Speeds, 2035 PM Peak Period

Significant congestion with average speeds of 18 mph are projected for 2035 in the southbound direction (Figure 21). None of the ramp metering alternatives would provide significant speed improvements at that level of congestion, with Alternative 5 (metering north of SR 217) providing an 11 percent increase in average speed from 18 to 20 mph.





Total Vehicle Hours

Total vehicle hours includes the hours spent driving on the freeway, delay caused by metered ramps and vehicle hours on the local street system. For 2035, vehicle hours were evaluated for both the AM and PM peak periods, and for metering in both directions on the US 101 freeway.

2035 AM Peak Period

Total vehicle hours were compiled for the northbound freeway and ramps, southbound freeway and ramps, and then totals including local street vehicle hours with traffic diversions (Table 17 and Figure 22). While ramp metering would reduce vehicle-hours on the freeway, the reductions would be more than offset by increased delays at the metered on-ramps and on the local streets. Local street delays due to traffic diversions are not projected to be significant (maximum of 1.4 percent increase) during the 2035 AM peak period.

2035 PM Peak Period

Total vehicle hours for the PM peak period are shown in Table 18 and Figure 23. As with the AM peak period, any reductions in vehicle-hours on the freeway caused by ramp metering would be more than offset by increased delays at the metered on-ramps and on the local streets. Local street delays due to traffic diversions are projected to be up to 6.7 percent with Alternative 3.

Some ramp delay is projected in the northbound direction even without ramp metering, due to merge conflicts.

Table 17: 2035 Vehicle Hours. AM Peak Period

Vehicle-Hours	No Meters	Alternative 1: Patterson	Alternative 2: SR 217/ Patterson	Alternative 3: All	Alternative 5: All N. of SR 217
NORTHBOUND					
Freeway	760	760	760	740	760
Ramp Delay	0	0	0	10	0
Northbound Total	760	760	760	750	760
SOUTHBOUND					
Freeway	1,260	1,260	1,210	1,100	1,210
Ramp Delay	0	120	260	460	220
Southbound Total	1,260	1,380	1,470	1,560	1,430
TOTAL					
Freeway (change from no meters)	2,020	2,020 (0.0%)	1,970 (-2.5%)	1,850 (-8.4%)	1,970 (-2.5%)
Ramp Delay	0	120	260	470	220
Subtotal Freeway/Ramps (change from no meters)	2,020	2,140 (+5.9%)	2,230 (+10.4%)	2,320 (+14.9%)	2,190 (+8.4%)
Local Streets (change from no meters)	4,450	4,460 (+0.2%)	4,480 (+0.7%)	4,510 (+1.4%)	4,490 (+0.9%)
TOTAL (change from no meters)	6,470	6,600 (+2.0%)	6,710 (+3.7%)	6,830 (+5.6%)	6,680 (+3.3%)





Table 18: 2035 Vehicle Hours, PM Peak Period

Vehicle-Hours	No Meters	Alternative 1: Patterson	Alternative 2: SR 217/ Patterson	Alternative 3: All	Alternative 5: All N. of SR 217
NORTHBOUND					
Freeway	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,750	1,820
Ramp Delay	630	630	630	830	680
Northbound Total	2,480	2,480	2,480	2,580	2,500
SOUTHBOUND					
Freeway	3,980	3,800	4,030	3,960	3,660
Ramp Delay	0	230	670	1,260	620
Southbound Total	3,980	4,030	4,700	5,220	4,280
TOTAL					
Freeway (change from no meters)	5,830	5,650 (-3.1%)	5,880 (+0.9%)	5,710 (-2.1%)	5,480 (-6.0%)
Ramp Delay	630	860	1,300	2,090	1,300
Subtotal Freeway/Ramps (change from no meters)	6,460	6,510 (+0.8%)	7,180 (+11.2%)	7,800 (+20.7%)	6,780 (+5.0%)
Local Streets (change from no meters)	7,150	7,150 (+0.0%)	7,220 (+1.0%)	7,630 (+6.7%)	7,390 (+3.4%)
TOTAL (change from no meters)	13,610	13,660 (+0.4%)	14,400 (+5.8%)	15,430 (+13.4%)	14,170 (+4.1%)





Intersection Operations

Operations were evaluated at the nine study intersections for 2035 traffic levels and with traffic diversions induced by each of the ramp metering alternatives (Table 15). No local improvements were assumed at any of the study intersections, consistent with comments by the City of Goleta. The city is currently completing a Development Impact Fee Study which may identify intersection improvements and associated funding sources. Implementation of these mitigations may result in improved future traffic conditions compared to this analysis.

ID	Intersection	Control	Peak Hour	No Meters	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 5
1	Storke Rd. and	Signalized	AM	D (42.1)	D (41.8)	D (41.9)	D (42.0)	D (41.9)
	Hollister Ave.		PM	F (93.0)	F (93.0)	F (98.3)	D (47.7)	F (135.0)
2	Los Carneros Rd.	Signalized	AM	D (43.7)	D (43.2)	D (43.1)	D (44.8)	D (43.6)
	and Hollister Ave.		PM	E (64.5)	E (64.3)	E (59.5)	E (56.2)	F (84.6)
3	Los Carneros Rd.	Roundabout	AM	B (10.8)	B (11.5)	B (11.3)	B (11.6)	B (11.0)
	and Calle Real		PM	C (20.8)	C (20.4)	C (20.3)	E (45.2)	F (170.7)
4	Fairview Ave.	Signalized	AM	D (37.1)	D (37.5)	D (37.4)	D (37.0)	D (36.5)
	and Hollister Ave.		PM	E (67.0)	E (65.8)	E (61.1)	F (122.2)	F (100.1)
5	Fairview Ave.	Signalized	AM	D (45.6)	D (47.9)	D (49.1)	D (51.1)	D (43.7)
	and Calle Real		PM	F (87.8)	E (74.5)	F (86.7)	F (121.4)	F (158.5)
6	Patterson Ave.	Signalized	AM	F (89.3)	F (81.9)	F (80.7)	F (104.6)	F (89.7)
	and Hollister Ave.		PM	E (71.3)	E (72.2)	F (90.2)	F (276.4)	E (78.2)
7	Patterson Ave.	Signalized	AM	C (27.3)	C (28.1)	C (27.7)	C (27.9)	C (27.6)
	and Calle Real		PM	C (28.7)	C (28.7)	C (28.7)	E (61.2)	C (25.6)
8	Turnpike Rd. and	Signalized	AM	E (73.8)	F (81.2)	F (83.1)	E (77.1)	E (75.3)
	Hollister Ave.		PM	E (69.2)	E (69.1)	F (80.1)	F (128.0)	E (58.9)
9	Turnpike Rd. and	Signalized	AM	D (53.6)	D (54.2)	D (53.8)	E (58.6)	D (54.6)
	Calle Real		PM	D (51.8)	D (52.2)	D (52.4)	F (80.2)	C (33.2)

Table	19.2035	Intersection	Operations	with Matarin	a Alternatives	and No In	nnrovements
lable	17. 2033	meisechon	operations	s will melelin	y Allemalives		nprovements

Source: Kittelson & Associates, 2017

The 2035 forecasts indicate congestion at many study intersections without intersection improvements, with LOS F projected at three of the study intersections and LOS E at three intersections.

The alternatives with ramp metering would cause LOS impacts at study intersections along Calle Real and Hollister Avenue. Alternative 5, with metering only north of SR 217, would have stronger diversion impacts on intersections in the west part of Goleta. Alternative 3, with metering at all on-ramps, would have more impact on intersections in the east part of Goleta. In some locations (such as Fairview/Hollister in the AM peak hour), ramp metering alternatives could result in slightly lower average delays due to traffic diversion patterns.

4.5. ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

Additional evaluation considerations for ramp metering would include safety and costs.

Safety Evaluation

A quantitative safety analysis was not conducted for this study. The TIMS data included in Appendix B indicate that more than one fatal or injury collision per year occurred at several of the on-ramps along the corridor, in particular the southbound on-ramp from Storke/Glen Annie and the northbound on-ramps from Storke/Glen Annie, Los Carneros and Fairview.

The Caltrans *Ramp Metering Development Plan*² notes that ramp metering maintains smoother and safer merging operations which improve safety by reducing rear-end and sideswipe collisions. The potential to reduce collisions should be included as a consideration in evaluating the benefits of ramp metering.

Costs

The costs to construct and implement ramp meters would be another consideration to compare to the potential benefits and operational issues associated with metering. The scope of this study includes prototype costs for typical ramp meter installation at two types of ramps, local streets and the SR 217 connector ramp to SB US 101. The more detailed cost estimates are documented in a separate technical memorandum prepared by Wallace Group.

The Caltrans Ramp Metering Development Plan provides conceptual construction cost estimates that are used for planning purposes (Table 20). Most of the ramps in Goleta would require three lanes (two general purpose and one HOV lane). Therefore, a typical installation cost including support and contingencies would be approximately two million dollars per ramp. Installation of metering on the SR 217 connector ramp would be expected to be significantly more depending on requirements to widen or modify the bridge structure that carries the ramp over the railroad tracks.

² California Department of Transportation, 2017 Ramp Metering Development Plan, February, 2018.

Table 20: Ramp Metering Conceptual Construction Cost Estimates

Number of Lanes Proposed	Electrical Cost (\$1,000)**	Civil Cost (\$1,000)***	Total Cost (\$1,000)*
1 Lane	140	250	380
2 Lanes	160	740	900
3 Lanes	270	850	1,120
Connector Ramp Meter	820	1,120	1,940

Notes:

* Generally, estimates are for typical on-ramps with no structure work and right of way acquisition. Longer and shorter on-ramps will vary from above estimates. Estimate does not include support cost (approximately 33%) or contingencies cost (approximately 25%). These estimates do not include traffic control or modification to existing drainage; or removal of sound wall, barriers, and metal beam guard rail (MBGR).

** Electrical cost includes electrical equipment (signals, conduit, controller cabinets, controllers, advance warning signs, advance warning signals, and mainline/on-ramp detection).

*** Civil cost includes civil work to widen the on-ramp, maintenance vehicle pullout (MVP), CHP enforcement area, signing, and striping.

Source: Caltrans 2017 Ramp Metering Development Plan

5. OUTREACH

Community outreach for the Goleta Ramp Metering Study included two public workshops and an online survey. Regional Government Services (RGS) facilitated the workshops and administered the online survey.

5.1. PUBLIC WORKSHOPS

Two public workshops were hosted by SBCAG at the Goleta Valley Community Center.

Public Workshop 1

The first public workshop was held on October 27, 2016. The presentation covered existing issues on US 101 and Goleta streets, project objectives, background on what ramp metering does, and potential alternatives for ramp metering implementation. The Turning Point interactive tool was used to poll attendees on the congestion they experience at each interchange ramp in the study area. This input was used to check the baseline analysis and help to identify ramp metering alternatives.

Public Workshop 2

The second public workshop occurred on April 19, 2018. The results of the evaluation and draft report were presented, and comments were received for incorporation in the final report.

5.2. ONLINE SURVEY

Following the first public workshop, an online survey was posted between November 29, 2016 and January 31, 2017. The survey requested opinions on the effectiveness of ramp metering, and personal experience with traffic conditions at the freeway interchanges and ramps in the study area. The survey received 214 responses. Appendix D includes the survey questionnaire and the detailed results.

Summary survey results include:

- ▶ 31% were in favor of ramp metering, 39% opposed and 30% not sure.
- ► A plurality of respondents (36%) did not think ramp metering would change their travel times, with 29% stating that travel times would get longer and 14% saying they would get shorter.
- ► For ramp metering effects on safety, 34% said ramp metering would improve safety, 8% less safge and 39% said ramp metering would have no effect on safety.
- The locations with the highest responses for "very bad congestion" were the southbond US 101 on-ramps from SR 217 and Patterson Avenue, and the intersections of Fairview Avenue with Calle Real and Storke Road with Hollister Avenue.

There were also 75 individual comments that are included in Appendix D.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Ramp metering alone could provide benefits to the US 101 freeway through Goleta, but would not provide overall travel time benefits to the transportation system within the Goleta study area. Metering of ramps in the Goleta area may or may not provide additional benefits to freeway operations beyond the focused Goleta study area (to the south of Turnpike Road) but further study of the extended area would be required.

Additional evaluation of the Goleta study area is necessary to identify measures to achieve impactful reductions in congestion. A combination of ramp metering, other Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)³ and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies, including ridesharing, telecommuting, and alternative work schedules, and increased local and commuter bus service, could potentially achieve meaningful reductions in congestion and increased travel time reliability.

One defining characteristic of the US 101 freeway in Goleta is that the majority of the traffic at the south end of the study corridor is traveling to and from the Goleta area, rather than consisting primarily of traffic that passes through Goleta. In freeway corridors where a higher percentage of the traffic is passing through the area, ramp metering can have more beneficial net impacts because the gains for higher numbers of freeway vehicles may outweigh delays to local traffic. However, where ramp traffic is more significant than through freeway volumes, as in Goleta, the benefits on the freeway do not necessarily result in net benefits for the total system.

Development approvals in Goleta and the nearby areas of Santa Barbara County could contribute to long term solutions in the corridor. Ramp meters are one tool available to protect mainline freeway operations and may need to be considered as part of future development approvals, as with the recently installed ramp meter at Patterson Avenue.

A more comprehensive evaluation of ramp metering, beyond the resources of this study, would consider effects on vehicle safety, air quality, and economic effects including goods movement through the US 101 corridor. Further studies should also investigate the potential for induced changes in mode towards greater use of ridesharing if HOV bypass lanes are provided at metered ramps.

³ Systems that use modern detection, communications and computing technology to collect data on system operations and performance, communicate that information to system managers and users, and use that information to manage and adjust the transportation system to respond to changing operating conditions, congestion, or accidents. ITS technology can be applied to arterials, freeways, transit, trucks, and private vehicles.

APPENDIX A: FREEWAY SPEED CONTOURS

Speed contour charts were created based on the floating car surveys (Figure 24 to Figure 31). The speed contour charts show the measured speed in each segment of the freeways on each of the three survey days. The speeds are color coded as follows:

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 24: Speed Contours, US 101 Northbound, AM Peak Period

Time	Tumpile Road On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to SR 217 Off-ramp	SR 217 Off-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Fairview Ave Off-ramp	Fairview Ave Off-ramp to Fairview Ave On-ramp	Fairview Ave On-ramp to Los Cameros Road Of-ramp	Los Carneros Road Off-ramp to Los Carneros Road On-ramp	Los Carneros Road On-ramp to Stork Road OfFramp	Stork Road Off-ramp to Stork Road On-ramp	Stork Road On-ramp to Winchester Campon Road Off- ramp	Winchester Canyon Road Off- ramp to Cathedrai Oals Rú On- ramp
Tuesday, 0	October 04, 2016										
7:00	69.2	72.5	70.9	70.0	70.0	68.9	72.4	71.5	70.6	72.0	70.6
7:15	62.3	70.5	70.8	68.8	68.4	68.4	72.3	67.4	66.3	66.5	66.5
7:30	62.8	67.5	67.1	66.1	66.2	64.4	61.4	53.7	61.5	65.5	66.2
7:45	64.3	68.0	69.7	70.0	64.8	60.3	67.3	69.1	67.7	70.4	72.5
8:00	59.6	61.2	65.9	68.4	67.5	67.8	66.3	69.5	67.1	67.9	68.3
8:15	65.0	67.0	68.4	69.5	69.9	60.6	62.6	63.0	64.6	65.3	66.8
8:30	58.9	61.9	69.2	71.7	71.8	68.9	69.3	71.6	71.6	70.5	70.4
8:45	56.3	68.4	68.9	67.5	64.5	66.4	68.6	67.5	68.8	69.3	68.4
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016										
7:00	56.5	58.8	65.0	68.9	69.2	68.7	66.4	67.4	68.4	67.9	68.7
7:15	64.2	70.1	70.8	70.2	71.2	68.0	71.9	71.9	70.7	71.9	69.6
7:30	62.4	67.2	65.7	68.1	67.8	59.2	63.0	65.8	65.6	66.5	67.4
7:45	64.9	68.6	67.1	69.0	69.1	67.4	69.3	60.1	65.8	67.1	65.9
8:00	61.9	71.5	70.7	69.1	66.4	67.1	70.5	71.4	72.3	73.8	73.1
8:15	66.8	69.1	69.8	68.3	69.6	59.2	69.8	68.2	69.3	68.5	72.0
8:30	62.3	65.2	64.6	66.7	70.7	66.3	66.3	63.8	67.1	66.4	69.9
8:45	68.2	73.1	71.1	70.3	64.6	67.6	75.0	71.0	75.0	76.2	73.3
Thursday,	October 06, 2016										
7:00	60.6	60.3	67.0	70.1	73.0	69.9	69.6	69.2	69.6	69.5	72.1
7:15	69.0	72.6	67.2	68.2	70.6	66.1	66.1	64.6	69.6	72.3	70.0
7:30	63.2	66.0	64.8	64.7	68.0	67.9	68.2	67.0	67.2	66.6	68.6
7:45	51.2	61.9	65.0	67.0	68.1	61.1	68.6	65.9	60.8	63.7	67.2
8:00	63.4	70.5	70.4	71.4	72.9	75.3	73.0	73.4	77.9	67.3	68.8
8:15	60.4	64.5	67.5	67.0	67.7	64.6	67.2	67.2	67.4	66.9	68.4
8:30	58.9	65.3	68.2	70.0	69.6	67.7	63.1	65.9	68.4	68.5	69.5
8:45	61.6	64.9	67.3	68.5	71.7	70.3	69.7	68.0	68.2	66.2	63.3

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 25: Speed Contours, US 101 Southbound, AM Peak Period

Time	Cathedral Oaks Rd Off-ramp to Cathedral Oaks Rd On-ramp	Cathedral Oaks Rd On-ramp to Storke Road Off-ramp	Storke Road Off-ramp to Storke Road On-ramp	Storke Road On-ramp to Los Carneros Road Off-ramp	Los Cameros Road Off-ramp to Los Carneros Road On-ramp	Los Cameros Road On-ramp to Fairview Ave Off-ramp	Fairview Ave Off-ramp to Fairview Ave On-ramp	Fairview Ave On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to SR 217 On-ramp	SR 217 On-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Turnpike Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016										
7:00	66.2	67.6	70.4	70.9	71.1	64.7	61.8	65.6	65.4	63.4	63.5
7:15	64.2	72.4	71.8	66.7	69.5	64.4	64.5	65.9	66.3	61.6	55.5
7:30	67.6	70.5	68.3	71.3	70.7	68.6	63.0	61.0	61.6	62.8	53.6
7:45	66.4	69.5	39.2	14.1	21.6	30.5	48.0	54.7	46.5	24.5	26.5
8:00	65.8	71.5	70.8	22.8	30.8	37.2	54.6	63.4	45.1	15.0	25.8
8:15	69.5	71.1	67.9	60.7	62.3	61.3	65.9	65.9	28.5	13.3	23.4
8:30	64.1	67.2	67.1	68.4	68.1	66.7	65.2	65.7	65.4	62.8	52.2
8:45	66.1	68.8	68.2	66.5	67.0	68.2	66.9	67.7	64.5	59.0	57.1
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016										
7:00	66.7	68.7	67.4	69.2	68.8	61.7	63.1	65.0	59.6	53.9	51.4
7:15	66.2	67.5	68.1	66.0	69.1	73.3	75.5	69.4	65.4	63.6	61.8
7:30	68.4	70.3	71.6	68.9	70.4	47.7	35.7	60.9	61.7	50.3	30.1
7:45	64.8	66.8	34.8	18.9	21.9	43.1	58.5	43.7	26.0	22.8	39.2
8:00	67.4	57.6	69.1	53.2	31.8	39.3	51.0	55.1	61.3	39.7	30.9
8:15	70.9	71.0	70.6	61.8	70.2	57.5	69.0	70.0	72.6	56.7	36.3
8:30	64.2	68.7	67.7	68.0	69.2	66.0	67.4	68.6	66.8	64.9	54.4
8:45	68.5	71.3	65.4	68.2	69.5	68.8	67.6	64.5	66.2	65.9	58.6
Thursday,	October 06, 2016										
7:00	67.3	67.5	66.8	66.6	66.9	65.9	65.5	63.6	62.8	59.6	57.5
7:15	65.1	67.5	62.8	59.8	61.3	55.4	58.2	58.4	57.6	52.9	55.9
7:30	68.3	71.5	68.9	68.3	59.8	40.4	63.6	65.0	58.7	58.9	57.9
7:45	64.8	65.8	58.4	26.3	25.6	41.5	53.4	60.9	56.3	36.9	29.4
8:00	65.5	69.0	69.2	71.5	61.8	49.6	51.7	59.4	63.9	60.1	58.2
8:15	69.3	73.8	70.4	67.3	68.6	65.0	62.8	63.0	63.5	58.7	49.9
8:30	66.7	68.8	66.9	60.7	67.1	67.2	66.2	64.2	66.1	63.6	55.5
8:45	67.4	69.0	65.5	65.3	66.2	65.4	65.4	65.2	64.6	61.3	62.7

Green	Greater than 55 mph			
Yellow	45 to 55 mph			
Orange	35 to 45 mph			
Red	Less than 35 mph			

Figure 26: Speed Contours, SR 217 Eastbound, AM Peak Period

Time	Sandspit Road On-ramp to Hollister Ave Off-ramp	Hollister Ave Off-ramp to Hollister Ave On-ramp	Hollister Ave On -ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to 101 South Merge	101 South Merge to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Tumpike Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016					
7:00	58.0	61.7	58.2	58.5	57.8	62.6
7:15	62.3	69.4	64.5	60.7	50.5	56.6
7:30	53.7	58.2	59.1	52.4	50.4	52.5
7:45	63.6	68.4	65.5	55.1	24.2	28.6
8:00	62.7	68.4	65.8	64.0	16.2	20.5
8:15	62.7	66.3	62.6	53.5	11.0	29.2
8:30	62.7	65.0	60.3	56.8	20.4	38.4
8:45	62.7	68.7	61.5	58.7	61.7	60.1
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016					
7:00	64.4	71.5	66.4	64.0	65.6	62.5
7:15	55.8	59.6	55.5	57.3	52.0	56.6
7:30	61.2	61.9	60.1	56.3	56.1	61.0
7:45	57.7	59.2	55.7	34.2	13.5	28.1
8:00	60.2	61.0	63.3	50.8	17.3	28.4
8:15	58.5	62.4	59.6	57.0	22.2	38.4
8:30	58.4	62.4	58.5	60.9	66.0	65.9
8:45	69.5	71.4	65.6	62.3	60.9	59.1
Thursday,	October 06, 2016					
7:00	57.1	56.1	53.8	53.4	59.5	62.3
7:15	58.9	60.0	58.2	57.2	57.1	67.7
7:30	55.4	57.6	57.3	58.0	64.1	65.1
7:45	57.4	62.4	58.3	58.4	56.2	33.0
8:00	61.4	57.1	51.8	51.1	57.0	53.0
8:15	61.6	64.0	58.0	60.6	63.7	61.0
8:30	59.4	59.6	54.3	53.4	59.0	58.1
8:45	58.3	66.0	61.3	61.0	60.1	62.0

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 27: Speed Contours, SR 217 Westbound, AM Peak Period

Time	Turnpike Road On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to 217 West Off-ramp	217 West Off-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Hollister Ave Off-ramp	Hollister Ave Off-amp to Hollister Ave On-ramp	Hollister Ave On-ramp to Sandspit Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016					
7:00	65.7	68.8	62.2	60.8	70.3	67.9
7:15	67.6	69.7	64.3	62.8	69.8	67.3
7:30	57.6	62.3	64.1	64.5	71.7	69.1
7:45	61.0	64.2	34.6	65.2	74.3	73.7
8:00	52.2	54.0	58.9	60.7	60.4	67.0
8:15	58.3	56.1	56.5	59.5	69.4	71.6
8:30	57.7	62.4	60.9	53.3	64.4	74.1
8:45	55.6	58.8	61.7	64.6	73.5	72.0
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016					
7:00	64.9	62.5	60.0	59.4	63.0	64.7
7:15	59.9	63.9	63.8	62.3	73.0	73.1
7:30	55.3	60.5	58.0	57.3	66.8	66.2
7:45	53.7	57.4	64.9	61.8	72.1	65.9
8:00	66.1	67.9	68.6	68.2	70.2	69.1
8:15	58.3	61.2	63.1	65.0	71.9	70.7
8:30	60.4	59.8	62.7	64.4	75.1	72.4
8:45	58.6	60.1	61.1	59.4	69.2	71.1
Thursday,	October 06, 2016					
7:00	63.9	63.2	56.9	57.7	64.0	64.4
7:15	65.3	67.9	62.7	58.4	67.8	66.6
7:30	54.3	60.2	59.6	61.1	65.3	66.5
7:45	52.3	56.2	59.3	62.1	69.4	72.4
8:00	57.8	64.5	65.0	65.4	69.2	65.6
8:15	60.4	66.9	66.2	62.5	68.7	65.1
8:30	61.8	63.6	63.0	62.7	65.5	63.8
8:45	54.4	57.5	55.4	56.8	67.9	70.1

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 28: Speed Contours, US 101 Northbound, PM Peak Period

Time	Turnpike Road On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to SR 217 Off-ramp	SR 217 Off-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave Ort-amp to Fairview Ave Off-ramp	Fairview Ave Off-ramp to Fairview Ave On-ramp	Fâiview Ave On-ramp to Los Came ros Road Off - amp	Los Carneros Road Off-ramp to Los Carneros Road On-ramp	Los Carneros Road On-ramp to Stork Road Off-ramp	Stork Road Off-amp to Stork Road On-ramp	Stork Road On-ramp to Winchester Caryon Road Off- ramp	Winchester Canyon Road Off- ramp to Cathedrai Oaks Rd On- ramp
Tuesday, C	October 04, 2016										
16:00	63.2	60.3	64.1	67.5	66.3	65.9	67.2	67.3	67.5	67.7	67.8
16:15	61.6	65.5	61.9	64.6	63.5	60.0	69.6	68.8	72.2	73.4	71.6
16:30	64.3	64.7	66.0	67.1	65.8	67.4	64.8	63.5	65.7	65.2	66.2
16:45	60.8	60.6	65.6	66.1	65.3	63.8	70.1	69.3	68.5	67.5	68.0
17:00	61.1	65.5	70.0	71.2	64.6	59.9	62.5	56.2	70.8	70.1	69.6
17:15	65.8	66.4	65.6	66.9	67.0	55.9	18.3	30.7	64.1	66.7	69.3
17:30	57.4	63.7	65.8	66.0	66.3	60.1	62.5	64.7	65.9	66.3	65.0
17:45	60.6	69.0	68.6	65.4	68.5	68.2	68.5	68.4	68.8	72.9	69.2
18.00	62.9	64.2	64.3	67.3	68.0	61.7	65.8	66.0	65.4	67.2	68.8
18.15	62.0	67.5	69.1	70.0	69.7	70.8	66.8	45.5	62.7	67.1	71.6
18.30	57.2	67.3	63.4	66.2	67.8	67.1	66.5	64.9	64.6	69.5	69.1
18:45	65.5	71.8	69.1	68.9	66.7	70.2	70.1	71.1	70.2	69.4	71.2
Wednesda	av October 05, 2016	71.0	05.1	00.5	00.7	7012	70.1	/1.1	70.2	0311	7 212
16:00	64.2	67.0	67.8	69.2	68.8	63.5	64.8	54.4	65.0	68.2	68.1
16:15	32.0	52.4	58.1	61.1	60.0	62.6	66.9	64.4	69.4	60.2	70.4
16:30	24.4	67.4	69.7	74.5	74.6	63.0	73.0	73.3	74.0	73.2	72.9
16:45	38.7	57.9	61.1	65.0	59.6	53.5	60.4	57.5	63.0	68.6	69.4
17:00	61.1	61.0	64.0	64.3	63.1	55.5	62.0	67.8	71.4	70.6	70.9
17.00	61.7	70.4	72.0	76.0	74.9	50.0	60 5	66.2	60.0	70.0	70.5
17:20	CC 9	62.2	62.2	70.0	74.0 62 E	55.4	E0.9	67.1	69.1	73.2 64 E	64.1
17.30	50.8	70.6	71.2	72.0	71.0	53.7	55.0	59.2	66.9	72.2	72.4
17.45	57.0	70.0	(2.7	75.0	71.0	70.0	71.7	30.2	72.2	72.2	73.4
10.00	55.9	62.0	63.7	09.2	72.5	70.0	/1./	70.7	/5.2	70.6	72.5
10.15	50.9	62.9	62.5	60.7	67.6	64.6	60.2	70.2	72.0	73.0	70.4
10.50	59.6	74.6	72.5	74.4	76.2	74.2	75.4	70.2	72.0	75.0	70.4
16.45 Thursdou	07.0 October 06, 2016	74.0	/3.5	74.4	70.5	74.3	75.4	/5.1	75.9	/1.5	72.9
Thursday,	October 06, 2016	60.6	60.2	(7.2	(7.7	(2) ((2.0	62.4	(5.2	(7.0	66.2
16:00	64.0	68.6	68.2	67.3	6/./	03.0	03.8	63.4	65.2	67.0	00.2
16:15	58.4	67.7	67.7	66.0	66.8	56.0	69.9	62.7	66.0	59.6	00.7
16:30	59.5	68.3	69.8	70.3	65.1	62.1	/0.2	66.0	/4.4	/3.0	//.0
16:45	63.3	64.8	65.5	63.6	66.6	66.0	47.4	42.2	61.3	bb.3	68.8
1/:00	60.9	/0.1	/1.2	/0.0	/1.9	68.0	65.6	66.3	/3.4	/0.1	/1.1
1/:15	60.1	66.3	/0.3	65.1	25.6	31.3	65.1	62.3	/0.1	/4.9	/5.9
1/:30	55.7	66.5	65.3	66.8	22.6	31.5	64.0	58.0	66.4	69.7	68.1
17:45	53.5	64.8	69.1	65.3	34.2	36.2	64.8	68.0	71.8	70.7	67.4
18:00	66.5	69.4	68.8	70.0	69.8	66.8	71.7	72.5	74.7	72.7	74.0
18:15	52.1	59.2	61.6	60.3	54.1	55.0	56.2	50.5	66.0	70.4	69.1
18:30	65.3	66.8	68.9	/0.1	66.5	63.8	/2.7	/0.8	/0.9	69.6	68.7
18:45	66.7	69.5	69.4	76.4	77.1	74.5	76.7	76.7	78.2	75.1	75.9

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 29: Speed Contours, US 101 Southbound, PM Peak Period

Time	Cathedral Oaks Rd Off-ramp to Cathedral Oaks Rd On-ramp	Cathedral Oaks Rd On-ramp to Storke Road Off-ramp	Storke Road Off-ramp to Storke Road On-ramp	Storke Road On-ramp to Los Carneros Road Off-ramp	Los Cameros Road Off-ramp to Los Carneros Road On-ramp	Los Cameros Road On-ramp to Fairview Ave Off-ramp	Fairview Ave Off-ramp to Fairview Ave On-ramp	Fairview Ave On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to SR 217 On-ramp	SR 217 On-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Turnpike Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016										
16:00	64.8	66.4	65.8	66.3	65.8	65.4	68.0	67.6	65.5	64.2	61.7
16:15	67.3	65.1	59.9	59.8	61.0	60.7	59.6	60.7	61.3	58.0	56.8
16:30	67.5	72.4	72.6	66.7	68.4	61.2	64.6	62.0	64.4	62.7	48.0
16:45	65.5	67.7	69.0	68.1	67.3	58.6	59.9	53.7	20.8	29.7	29.9
17:00	66.0	65.1	66.7	66.9	63.7	59.8	58.0	54.2	15.9	15.8	26.6
17:15	67.8	68.3	67.5	69.3	67.3	53.0	34.1	16.8	14.3	17.8	28.4
17:30	67.1	67.9	67.0	66.2	65.0	62.4	61.4	39.7	10.8	20.9	31.2
17:45	66.8	6/./	66.9	67.1	66.0	59.6	63.9	26.2	15.3	18.9	25.0
18:00	66.1	6/./	64.9	65.9	62.6	60.4	62.9	62.0	59.8	38.3	36.7
18:15	65.5	65.0	68.7	66.0	50.0	53.3	65.7	65.3	63.7	56.9	58.7
18:30	65.6	65.8	67.6	68.3	65.4	62.4	61.2	63.4	64.5	60.3	52.5
18:45	63.2	65.4	62.9	60.8	65.4	69.7	66.1	63.0	61.9	61.2	57.0
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016	02.4	70.5	70.0	70.4	01.4	72.4	44.2	42.5	40.2	25.4
16:00	74.5	82.4	79.5	/8.8	/8.4	81.4	72.4	44.2	12.5	10.3	25.4
16:15	00.5	69.1 70.0	69.3	68.4	65.2	60.7	58.9	27.6	9.9	15.9	32.2
16:30	70.1	70.6	67.8	61.0	01.1	57.8	60.7	62.9	17.6	1/./	28.9
10.45	/5.1	/1.5	/5./	74.2	/1.5	50.4	51.9	32.9	12.2	14.9	27.0
17.00	70.2	09.5	72.0	07.4	00.7	00.9	51.4	20.2	12.5	21.9	31.0
17:15	70.2	73.1	72.3	70.6	32.2	53.4	22.0	20.3	16.2	21.0	28.8
17.50	67.0	76.2	/3.5	75.5	69.1	63.6	59.1	23.2	10.0	10.5	24.2
17.45	72.9	60.2	66.0	69.4	67.6	62.5	59.0 62.7	52.5	62.6	15.0	49.1
10.00	73.8	75.0	75.0	60.3	67.5	66.1	68.0	69.2	66.0	67.0	40.1
10.15	69.0	75.9	75.9	69.2	62.4	61.2	56.0 E0.9	61.9	61.9	64.7	65.0
10.30	66 E	70.2	67.4	72.1	75.2	72.1	53.8	62.6	50.0	56.0	54.5
Thursday	October 06, 2016	70.2	07.4	75.1	75.2	72.1	07.5	05.0	33.0	50.0	
16.00	60 1	73.8	73.0	63.5	64.5	64.2	68.8	68.1	55.4	16.3	33.6
16:15	64.7	67.8	69.0	68.5	67.7	63.6	63.2	49.4	11.5	14.6	29.3
16:30	67.2	69.6	64.5	66.0	64.9	64.6	64.0	61.0	36.8	18.5	27.4
16:45	75.7	78.7	83.0	80.5	77 7	72.9	70.8	27.7	10.7	17.6	27.3
17:00	66.9	69.3	66.0	70.2	60.6	53.5	59.9	32.6	10.8	18.8	31.4
17:15	62.5	68.4	65.7	60.3	48.9	22.1	25.2	21.9	9.9	14.4	34.0
17:30	74.4	80.3	83.8	76.0	16.5	29.6	28.2	31.5	27.8	16.8	27.3
17:45	67.2	70.2	70.4	69.1	21.2	25.9	46.4	25.1	9.6	18.2	31.1
18:00	64.8	68.1	67.2	62.9	65.1	62.3	64.6	29.7	13.0	25.4	37.6
18:15	71.1	74.4	70.9	70.6	73.0	71.8	73.5	70.2	66.5	47.8	41.0
18:30	67.2	66.2	66.8	65.4	62.5	59.0	61.0	63.0	66.7	59.4	58.3
18:45	66.5	67.6	63.7	66.7	65.4	65.2	64.5	63.6	61.9	56.6	60.8

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 30: Speed Contours, SR 217 Eastbound, PM Peak Period

Time	Sandspit Road On-ramp to Hollister Ave Off-ramp	Hollister Ave Off-amp to Hollister Ave On-ramp	Hollister Ave On -famp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to 101 South Merge	101 South Merge to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Tumpike Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016					
16:00	59.5	68.1	61.5	59.9	63.6	61.8
16:15	62.2	65.6	58.7	51.0	55.2	52.0
16:30	59.8	61.0	59.5	58.4	59.9	60.3
16:45	64.5	56.0	56.3	22.0	15.0	32.6
17:00	59.2	65.3	60.8	11.2	11.3	30.7
17:15	63.2	61.2	5.0	3.3	12.5	31.0
17:30	56.0	55.9	8.1	2.7	13.9	29.4
17:45	65.6	68.1	54.3	6.0	13.5	28.4
18:00	64.7	70.0	60.9	25.9	19.3	31.5
18:15	54.5	61.1	64.7	62.0	68.5	67.9
18:30	63.8	64.6	61.2	57.0	60.0	60.3
18:45	64.6	68.6	62.8	61.3	62.3	62.1
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016	60.4	69.4	60.4	68.8	
16:00	60.5	63.1	60.4	60.4	65.5	64.0
16:15	60.5	68.9	42.8	6.3	11.8	20.1
16:30	60.0	68.2	39.6	5.3	13.5	29.8
16:45	65.7	68.7	36.5	4.5	22.9	30.1
17:00	65.2	67.8	59.3	9.4	13.9	25.1
17.15	02.8	50.9	7.5	4.2	14.5	30.7
17.50	59.0	70.6	22.5	5.5	10.0	32.4
12:00	61 5	67.4	62.2	60.2	EQ 2	23.3
10.00	61.5	72 5	65.0	61.7	50.5 62.7	57.5
18:30	57.8	58.4	56.0	55.0	60.3	60.3
18:45	64.7	64.2	60.7	59.1	63.5	60.5
Thursday	October 06. 2016	0112			00.0	00.0
16:00	60.3	64.5	59.2	57.6	32.3	24.3
16:15	64.3	69.4	63.0	8.0	15.6	30.1
16:30	51.9	57.1	59.4	38.2	12.9	29.7
16:45	64.7	65.7	51.0	3.6	13.3	27.1
17:00	56.7	60.3	50.8	5.6	12.2	29.0
17:15	64.9	35.3	4.0	3.2	13.3	20.4
17:30	59.1	51.5	6.3	3.1	21.7	34.3
17:45	63.5	70.8	61.5	5.4	18.2	25.3
18:00	61.9	61.9	53.1	45.5	16.9	30.9
18:15	61.7	67.4	63.7	58.1	18.3	30.0
18:30	60.7	62.2	59.8	57.6	62.2	59.3
18:45	59.2	65.4	60.6	59.8	60.2	60.8

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

Figure 31: Speed Contours, SR 217 Westbound, PM Peak Period

Time	Turnpike Road On-ramp to Patterson Ave Off-ramp	Patterson Ave Off-ramp to 217 West Off-ramp	217 West Off-ramp to Patterson Ave On-ramp	Patterson Ave On-ramp to Hollister Ave Of-ramp	Hollister Ave Off-famp to Hollister Ave On-ramp	Hollister Ave On-ramp to Sandspit Road Off-ramp
Tuesday,	October 04, 2016					
16:00	56.6	55.7	58.7	58.9	69.4	73.8
16:15	63.6	69.0	68.1	61.7	62.4	61.3
16:30	62.2	59.6	64.3	62.0	74.8	71.5
16:45	59.9	63.1	60.4	58.1	64.2	61.1
17:00	61.4	69.9	65.1	62.6	69.1	61.5
17:15	57.3	57.8	56.9	60.0	60.9	58.2
17:30	55.2	61.0	48.9	54.1	63.4	70.5
17:45	59.2	64.0	63.0	59.7	64.9	65.8
18:00	51.5	63.3	63.2	59.5	69.1	67.7
18:15	62.9	61.5	59.7	62.2	66.3	63.5
18:30	54.4	60.8	62.0	63.9	76.4	76.7
18:45	67.4	67.2	61.2	62.0	69.1	73.4
Wednesd	ay, October 05, 2016					
16:00	54.5	54.1	60.0	59.2	64.8	69.7
16:15	17.6	48.7	51.8	52.8	59.0	60.9
16:30	20.2	45.1	55.3	58.1	64.4	63.9
16:45	23.7	49.8	56.8	60.6	67.6	67.7
17:00	60.7	63.7	63.2	62.8	68.7	66.7
17:15	50.9	59.7	60.4	61.0	65.2	66.1
17:30	62.3	68.2	66.8	63.6	70.1	69.5
17:45	55.6	57.2	64.0	57.0	62.9	63.4
18:00	64.3	69.8	66.7	64.5	69.6	71.9
18:15	61.8	64.4	58.2	58.2	63.9	58.7
18:30	68.1	68.5	67.5	66.6	73.1	68.6
18:45	56.2	59.9	61.3	59.8	65.3	63.4
Thursday,	October 06, 2016					
16:00	59.3	55.9	59.5	60.6	74.0	59.9
16:15	50.0	57.1	58.2	58.0	59.2	56.3
16:30	57.4	60.4	64.4	56.4	69.1	74.1
16:45	57.6	58.9	61.3	61.2	64.3	61.0
17:00	6/.1	66.8	67.6	64.4	/0.8	69.4
17:15	61.8	58.1	56.6	56.9	61.0	61.3
17:30	55.5	57.1	55.4	57.9	69.2	65./
17:45	57.0	64.0	61.8	58.3	64.5	64.2
18:00	50.8	60.5	63.8	64.0 F0.2	63.6	58.0
18:15	58.3	53.2	63.7	59.2	52.5	58.9
18:30	52.5	56.9	62.4	64.3	/1.0	/0.1
18:45	66.6	56.5	62.1	62.2	66.1	60.2

Green	Greater than 55 mph
Yellow	45 to 55 mph
Orange	35 to 45 mph
Red	Less than 35 mph

APPENDIX B: CRASH MAPS BASED ON TIMS

For visualization purposes only, geocoded crash data from the Statewide Integrated Traffic System (SWITRS) for injury and fatal crashes were acquired from UC Berkeley's Transportation Injury Mapping System (TIMS). Caltrans specifies that data from TIMS and SWITRS cannot be used to perform safety analysis due to its lack of details like in the Traffic Collision Report (TCR) produced by the California Highway Patrol (CHP). There is not enough data resolution to make correlation and causation determinations on safety. Caltrans cannot accept any safety analysis results based on other data sources beside TASAS.

The TIMS website includes the following disclaimer under the Terms of Use:

Note to Users from California Department of Transportation (Caltrans): In making any decision, especially any engineering decision, Caltrans employees and those acting on Caltrans's behalf shall not rely upon this website, the data and information accessed through this website, or any document created using this website. The website, data, information, and documents may be inaccurate, false, out of date, uncorrected, and/or otherwise unreliable. The website, data, information, and documents are informational only and are not to be relied upon in any way.

The following data summaries from TIMS are intended only to provide a visualization of reported severe crashes by type in the study area (Table 21 and Figure 32 to Figure 38).

Table 21: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Ramp, 2012 to 2015, from TIMS Data

Associated Ramp	Fatal/Injury Crashes	Fatality	Serious Injury	Crash Types		
Turnpike Road Inte	rchange					
NB Off-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (2); Broadside (1)		
NB On-Ramp	3	-	1	Broadside (2); Rear End (1)		
SB Off-Ramp	5	-	-	Broadside (4); Rear End (1)		
SB On-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (3)		
Patterson Avenue Interchange						
NB Off-Ramp	4	-	-	Rear End (4)		
NB On-Ramp	1	-	-	Sideswipe (1)		
SB Off-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (1); Broadside (1); Other (1)		
SB On-Ramp	1	-	-	Broadside (1)		
SR 217 / US 101 Inte	erchange					
NB US 101 – WB SR 217	1	-	-	Rear End (1)		
EB SR 217 – SB US						
101	2	-	-	Rear End (1); Sideswipe (1)		
Fairview Avenue Ir	nterchange					
NB Off-Ramp	5	-	-	Rear End (3); Broadside (1); Other (1)		
NB On-Ramp	5	-	1	Sideswipe (1); Rear End (2); Broadside (2)		
SB Off-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (2); Broadside (1)		
SB On-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (1); Broadside (2)		
Los Carneros Road	Interchange		_			
NB Off-Ramp	1	1	-	Pedestrian (1)		
NB On-Ramp	5	-	-	Head On (1); Rear End (4)		
SB Off-Ramp	1	-	-	Other (1)		
SB On-Ramp	2	-	1	Sideswipe (1); Rear End (1)		
Glen Annie Road /	Storke Road In	terchange*				
NB Off-Ramp	3	-	-	Rear End (3)		
NB On-Ramp	5	-	-	Rear End (3); Broadside (2)		
SB Off-Ramp	4	1	-	Rear End (1); Broadside (2); Other (1)		
SB On-Ramp	9	-	-	Rear End (5); Broadside (3); Overturn (1)		
Cathedral Oaks Road / Winchester Canyon Road / Calle Real Interchange						
NB Off-Ramp	-	-	-			
NB On-Ramp	1	-	-	Sideswipe (1)		
SB Off-Ramp	-	-	-			
SB On-Ramp	1	-	1	Overturn (1)		

Table 21: Fatal and Injury Crashes by Ramp, 2012 to 2015, from TIMS Data

Associated Ramp	Fatal/Injury Crashes	Fatality	Serious Injury	Crash Types	
Hollister Avenue / SR 217 Interchange					
WB Off-Ramp	2	-	-	Rear End (2)	
WB On-Ramp	-	-	-		
EB Off-Ramp	-	-	-		
EB On-Ramp	3	-	-	Sideswipe (1); Rear End (1); Broadside (1)	

* The Storke Road southbound on ramp has been modified to provide additional channelization for vehicles entering the freeway since these data were collected.

Goleta Ramp Metering Study	Project #: 19632
May 8, 2018	Page 67



Figure 32: Turnpike Road Fatal/Injury Crashes from TIMS Data, 2012 to 2015














Figure 36: Glen Annie Road/Storke Road Interchange Fatal/Injury Crashes from TIMS Data, 2012 to 2015

Figure 37: Cathedral Oaks Interchange Fatal/Injury Crashes from TIMS Data, 2012 to 2015



Project #: 19632 Page 73

Figure 38: Hollister Avenue Interchange Fatal/Injury Crashes from TIMS Data, 2012 to 2015



APPENDIX C: FREQ CALIBRATION

FREQ MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INPUT DATA

The FREQ modeling software, developed by the Institute for Transportation Studies at the University of California at Berkeley, was used to simulate peak period traffic operations on US 101 within the study area. FREQ is a macroscopic freeway facility operations simulation model based on the classical speed-flow and density-flow relationships. FREQ evaluates operational performance in one direction of freeway travel at a time by predicting speeds and densities of traffic based on the volume/capacity ratios.

The FREQ model was developed based on a set of comprehensive data including traffic volumes, geometries, and capacities. The freeway capacities reflect the presence of heavy vehicles and profile grades that exist in the corridor.

Before its application for future operations analysis, FREQ must be calibrated to reflect local conditions. This was performed by iteratively running FREQ under the existing conditions and comparing the model predicted speeds and travel times with those observed in the field. Capacity adjustments were made to the freeway sections, fined tune for individual time slice, until the congestion level matches observed field data.

FREQ Model Limits

The FREQ model limits coincide with the corridor study limits described in the introduction. Four FREQ models were developed and calibrated for the purpose of developing ramp metering rates for the corridor:

- ► Northbound AM Peak Period: 7 AM-9 AM
- ► Northbound PM Peak Period: 4 PM-7 PM
- Southbound AM Peak Period: 7 AM–9 AM
- ► Southbound PM Peak Period: 4 PM-7 PM

These time periods include time before congestion occurs, during congested periods, and when queues dissipate. The FREQ model was set up to analyze at 15-minute time intervals.

Selection of Data for FREQ Model Evaluation

Existing midweek peak-period traffic operations were observed for three consecutive days between Tuesday and Thursday in October 2016 during following time periods:

- Midweek AM northbound and southbound: 7 AM-9 AM
- Midweek PM northbound and southbound: 4 PM-7 PM

FREQ Model Free Flow Speeds

Model free flow speeds are set to 65 miles per hour (mph) in both directions on US 101, based on observations during uncongested times. This is also consistent with the posted speed limit along the corridor.

Existing Traffic Volumes

The existing freeway mainline entry counts represent actual demand volumes as they were collected upstream of the freeway queues. All on-ramp counts, as well as off-ramp counts upstream of congestion, represent demand volumes as tube counters were set upstream of queues. Off-ramp counts, downstream of freeway queues, represent constrained traffic counts.

FREQ Model Capacities

Freeway capacities for the FREQ calibration were set based on traffic counts through freeway subsections (SS) operating at capacity (bottleneck section). 2,150 vehicle-per-hour-per-lane (vphpl) is used as a basic mainline subsection capacity for FREQ models and varied depending on the observed traffic operations. This capacity already accounts for factors such as heavy vehicles, grades, typical merging, diverging, and weaving effects. Specific adjustments were made at certain locations and time periods to account for additional factors, described in the next section.

All on-ramp and off-ramp capacities are set using the default value of 2,000 vphpl.

Based on Exhibit 13-10 of HCM 2010, the general capacity of ramp roadways is between 1,800 passenger cars per lane per hour (pcplph) and 2,200 pcplph depending on the free-flow speed of the ramp. The ramp capacity of 2,000 vphpl is conservatively within the HCM 2010 values, which accounts for moderate vehicle adjustments.

Mainline Capacities at Specific Locations

While a majority of freeway subsection capacities were set using an average capacity of 2,150 vphpl, as described above, the capacity for the US 101 mainline both within and downstream of the bottleneck sections was set at reduced capacities for some certain time periods. This reduced capacity was set based on constrained throughput counts on US 101 in both the southbound and northbound directions. This reflects lower capacity due to merging, diverging, and weaving activities within the area.

Final calibrated mainline capacities for all four FREQ models are summarized in Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2**Error! Reference source not found.** for the northbound AM and PM peak periods, respectively and Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 for the southbound AM and PM peak periods, respectively.

Subsection No.	No. of Lanes	Subsection Capacity *	Subsection Length	FF Speed	O-D	Subsection Description
1	3	6,450	4,394	65	OD	El.Sueno On toN.TpkOff
2	3	6,450	2,323	65		N.Tpk Off to On
3	3	6,450	3,885	65	OD	N.Tpk On to N.PatsonOf
4	3	6,450	806	65	D	N.Patterson Ofto 2170f
5	3	6,450	2,076	65		217 Ofto N.Patson On
6	3	6,450	3,543	65	OD	N.Patterson On-NFviewOf
7	3	5,700	1,466	65		N.FviewOff toOn
8	2	3,800	5,080	65	OD	N.FviewOn-LCarnerosOff
9	2	3,800	2,559	65		Los Carneros Off to On
10	2	3,793	1,429	65	OD	L.CarnsOn-Glen An Off
11	2	3,800	3,173	65		Glenn Annie Off to On
12	2	3,800	7,996	65	OD	Glen AnOn W.Canyon Off
13	2	3,800	4,103	65		W.Canyon Off to HollOn
14	2	3,800	6,832	65	OD	Holl Onto Mainline

Exhibit 1: FREQ Model Input Data for US 101 Northbound AM Peak Period

*Indicates capacity range, when applicable, used in the adjustment for some individual time slice.

Exhibit 2: FREQ Model Input Data for US 101 Northbound PM Peak Period

Subsection No.	No. of Lanes	Subsection Capacity *	Subsection Length	FF Speed	O-D	Subsection Description
1	3	6,450	4,394	65	OD	El.Sueno On toN.TpkOff
2	3	6,450	2,323	65		N.Tpk Off to On
3	3	6,450	3,885	65	OD	N.Tpk On to N.PatsonOf
4	3	3,200 - 6,450	806	65	D	N.Patterson Ofto 217Of
5	3	6,450	2,076	65		217 Ofto N.Patson On
6	3	6,450	3,543	65	OD	N.Patterson On-NFviewOf
7	3	3,800 – 5,700	1,466	65		N.FviewOff toOn
8	2	3,480 - 4,400	5,080	65	OD	N.FviewOn-LCarnerosOff
9	2	2,620 - 4,400	2,559	65		Los Carneros Off to On
10	2	3,550 - 4048	1,429	65	OD	L.CarnsOn-Glen An Off
11	2	3,800 - 4,400	3,173	65		Glenn Annie Off to On
12	2	4,400	7,996	65	OD	Glen AnOn W.Canyon Off
13	2	4,400	4,103	65		W.Canyon Off to HollOn
14	2	4,400	6,832	65	OD	Holl Onto Mainline

*Indicates capacity range, when applicable, used in the adjustment for some individual time slice.

Subsection No.	No. of Lanes	Subsection Capacity *	Subsection Length	FF Speed	O-D	Subsection Description
1	2	4,300	6,666	65	OD	C.Real to Hol off
2	2	4,300	2,527	65		Holl of f to Ho ll on
3	2	4,300	8,949	65	OD	Holl on to Sto rke Rdoff
4	2	4,300	2,682	65		Storke off to on
5	3	4,700	2,775	65	OD	Storke on to L carnosOf
6	2	4,500	3,466	65		Lcarnos Off to On
7	2	2,700 - 4,500	3,064	65	OD	L.Crnos on - S Fvw Ave Of
8	2	2,100 - 4,500	2,348	65		S.fvw O ff to O n
9	3	6,600	4,052	65	OD	S Fvw o n to Pa t Off
10	3	5,700	1,688	65		Patt Of f to 21 7 On
11	3	5,000 – 5,700	1,841	65	0	SR 217 On to P aterson On
12	3	4,000 - 4,700	3,196	65	OD	Patt On to Tur npk Off
13	3	3,000 - 5,000	2,406	65		Turnpk Off to On
14	3	4,000 – 5,300	5,137	65	OD	Turnpk on to S tate St of

Exhibit 3: FREQ Model Input Data for US 101 Southbound AM Peak Periods

*Indicates capacity range, when applicable, used in the adjustment for some individual time slice.

Exhibit 4: FREQ Model Input Data for US 101 Southbound PM Peak Periods

Subsection No.	No. of Lanes	Subsection Capacity *	Subsection Length	FF Speed	O-D	Subsection Description
1	2	4,300	6,666	65	OD	C.Real to Hol off
2	2	3,800	2,527	65		Holl of f to Ho ll on
3	2	3,800	8,949	65	OD	Holl on to Sto rke Rdoff
4	2	4,300	2,682	65		Storke off to on
5	3	4,664	2,775	65	OD	Storke on to L carnosOf
6	2	4,000 - 4,300	3,466	65		Lcarnos Off to On
7	2	4,300	3,064	65	OD	L.Crnos on - S Fvw Ave Of
8	2	4,000 - 4,300	2,348	65		S.fvw O ff to O n
9	3	4,000 - 6,600	4,052	65	OD	S Fvw o n to Pa t Off
10	3	4,000 – 5,700	1,688	65		Patt Of f to 21 7 On
11	3	4,000 - 4,500	1,841	65	0	SR 217 On to P aterson On
12	3	4,300 – 4,655	3,196	65	OD	Patt On to Tur npk Off
13	3	3,400 - 4,600	2,406	65		Turnpk Off to On
14	3	5,000 - 5,500	5,137	65	OD	Turnpk on to S tate St of

FREQ MODEL CALIBRATION RESULTS

This section describes the validated FREQ model results and how they compared to field observed data.

Bottlenecks and Queues - Observed

On US 101 northbound, some slowdowns in speeds without queue spillback were observed during the PM peak period at the following locations:

- Between Turnpike Road and Patterson Avenue.
- ▶ Between N Fairview Avenue and Los Carneros Road.

On US 101 southbound, the following bottlenecks were observed:

- Between Stoke Road and S Fairview Avenue: During 7:45 AM and 8:00 AM, queues from this bottleneck extended as far as Stoke Road. This bottleneck was not identified during the PM peak period.
- Between Patterson Avenue and Turnpike Road: During the AM peak period, queues from this bottleneck extended north to the interchange influence area at SR217.
- Between Los Carneros Road and Turnpike Road: During the PM peak period, queues from this bottleneck extended over 3 miles as far as Los Carneros Road.

Bottlenecks and Queues – FREQ Simulated

Exhibit 5 through Exhibit 8 show the graphical output from the four calibrated FREQ models. FREQsimulated bottleneck locations are consistent with the observed ones from the field data collection.

Exhibit 9 provides a comparison of the congestion duration associated with each bottleneck, between observed and FREQ simulated conditions. In general, the FREQ simulation results match well with the observed conditions, with some cases that the model conservatively simulated longer congestion duration by about 15 minutes.

Day	/-1 Existi	ng Condi	tions									
->		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·····,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			、	,		· · · ·		
	1	2	3	5	6 7	8	9 10	11	12	13	14	
1												
3												
4 5												
6												
8												
									<u>P</u> rint H	elp Help	<u>E</u> xit	

Exhibit 5: FREQ Calibrated Model Graphical Output - US 101 Northbound AM Model

(Note: numbers on the vertical axis represents time intervals, and numbers on the horizontal axis represents FREQ subsection number.)



Exhibit 6: FREQ Calibrated Model Graphical Output - US 101 Northbound PM Model

(Note: numbers on the vertical axis represents time intervals, and numbers on the horizontal axis represents FREQ subsection number.)



Exhibit 7: FREQ Calibrated Model Graphical Output - US 101 Southbound AM Model

(Note: numbers on the vertical axis represents time intervals, and numbers on the horizontal axis represents FREQ subsection number.)



Exhibit 8: FREQ Calibrated Model Graphical Output – US 101 Southbound PM Model

(Note: numbers on the vertical axis represents time intervals, and numbers on the horizontal axis represents FREQ subsection number.)

	Pottlonock Location	Observed (Congestion	Simulated (Congestion
#	Bottleneck Location	Start	End	Start	End
		Northbound PM	l		
А	Between Turnpike Road and Patterson Avenue	4:15 PM	6:30 PM	4:15 PM	6:45 PM
В	Between N Fairview Avenue and Los Carneros Road	5:15 PM	6:00 PM	4:45 PM	6:15 PM
		Southbound AM	l		
с	Between Stoke Road and S Fairview Avenue	7:45 AM	8:15 AM	7:45 AM	8:15 AM
D	Between Patterson Avenue and Turnpike Road	7:45 AM	8:30 AM	7:30 AM	8:45 AM
		Southbound PM			
E	Between Los Carneros Road and Turnpike Road	4:00 PM	7:00 PM	4:00 PM	7:00 PM

Exhibit 9: Comparison of Congestion Duration – Observed vs FREQ Calibrated Models

Note: Observed conditions are primarily based on October 2016 data.

Speed Contour Map

Exhibit 10 through Exhibit 13 provide a graphical comparison of the FREQ simulated speed contour and observed speed contour maps of the US 101 study corridor. Observed speed contours were obtained from floating car survey data collected between October 4th and 6th, 2016. In general, observed speeds were replicated reasonably well by the calibrated FREQ models in congested locations and duration. As shown in the comparison, FREQ simulated congested speeds in some cases are slower compared to observed speeds, which in turn results in simulated queue lengths that are slightly shorter compared to observed data.

Chi-square differences of the simulated versus observed speed were also computed and are presented in Exhibit 10 through Exhibit 13. This is a general measure of goodness of fit and is calculated by taking the square of the differences between observed and simulated speeds, divided by observed speeds. Values are computed for each freeway segment and each time interval. The lower the chi-square value, the better the fit between the predicted and observed speed. Overall, simulated speeds match reasonably well with observed speeds.

Exhibit 10: US 101 Northbound AM Speed Contour Map – FREQ Simulated versus Observe
--

	Start Time	N.Tpk On to N.PatsonOf	N.Patterson Ofto 2170f	217 Ofto N.Patson On	N.Patterson On-NFviewOf	N.FviewOff toOn	N.FviewOn-LCarnerosOff	Los Carneros Off to On	L.CarnsOn-Glen An Off	Glenn Annie Off to On	Glen AnOn W.Canyon Off	W.Canyon Off to HollOn
	Length (mi)	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.3	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.6	1.5	0.8
ge)	7:00 AM	62	64	68	70	71	69	69	69	70	70	70
rag	7:15 AM	65	71	70	69	70	68	70	68	69	70	69
ve	7:30 AM	63	67	66	66	67	64	64	62	65	66	67
I(A	7:45 AM	60	66	67	69	67	63	68	65	65	67	69
/ec	8:00 AM	62	68	69	70	69	70	70	71	72	70	70
er	8:15 AM	64	67	69	68	69	61	67	66	67	67	69
psq	8:30 AM	60	64	67	69	71	68	66	67	69	69	70
0	8:45 AM	62	69	69	69	67	68	71	69	71	71	68
	7:00 AM	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
5	7:15 AM	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
tec	7:30 AM	67	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
rla	7:45 AM	62	67	68	68	68	67	68	68	68	68	68
Ē	8:00 AM	64	67	68	68	68	67	68	68	68	68	68
Si	8:15 AM	65	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
	8:30 AM	66	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
	8:45 AM	65	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
	7:00 AM	-6	-4	0	2	3	1	1	1	2	2	2
e	7:15 AIVI 7:20 AN4	-3	3	2	1	2	0	2	0	1	2	1
e D	7.30 AN 7:45 AM	-4 -2	-1 -1	-2 _1	-2 1	-1 _1	-4 -1	-4 0	-0	-3	-2	-⊥ 1
er	8:00 AM	-2	1	1	2	1	3	2	3	4	2	2
l fi	8:15 AM	-1	-1	1	0	1	-7	-1	-2	-1	-1	1
	8:30 AM	-6	-4	-1	1	3	0	-2	-1	1	1	2
	8:45 AM	-3	1	1	1	-1	0	3	1	3	3	0
	7:00 AM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
p	7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
are	7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
) nk	7:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-Sc	8:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
l il	8:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	8:30 AM	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Exhibit 11: US 101 Northbound PM Speed Contour Map - FREQ Simulated versus Observed

																		_											-																		
	σ	hi-S	nb	are	ß						L	Ϊ	ere	Suc	e							Sir	nu	lat	ed						0	bs	e7	/ed	É	/er	age	a									
6:15 PM 6:30 PM 6:45 PM	6:00 PM	5:30 PM 5:45 PM	5:15 PM	5:00 PM	4:45 PM	4:30 PM	4:15 PM	4:00 PM	6:30 PM 6:45 PM	6:15 PM	6:00 PM	5:45 PM	5:15 PM	5:00 PM	4:45 PM	4:30 PM	4:00 PM 4:15 PM	6:45 PM	6:30 PM	6:15 PM	6:00 PM	5:45 PM	5:30 PM	5:15 PM	5:00 PM	4:45 PM	4:30 PM	4:15 PM	0.45 PIVI	6:30 PIVI	6:15 PM	6:00 PM	5:45 PM	5:30 PM	5:15 PM	5:00 PM	4:45 PM	4:15 PIVI 4:30 PM	4:00 PM	Length (mi)	Start Time						
0 3 0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 1	4	-3	-1	0	-3	-1	3	-1 -3	65	47	54	65	54	58	63	64	55	46	54	65	61	58	62	60	57	63	61	54	51 <u>49</u>	64	0.7	N.Tpl	k On	tol	N.Pa	itsor	đ	
0 0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 7	-2	1	-1	3	1	-4	2	0 -3	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	6/ 72	63	66	68	64	68	66	61	67	65	0.2	N.Pat	tters	on (offo	217	đ	
0 0 0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	2 6	-1	1	-1	5 1	3	-1	4	2 -2	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	6/ 71	64	66	70	64	70	68	64	63 69	67 62	0.4	217 (Ofto	N.P	atsc	0 u	c	
0 0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 8	1	4	1 3	4	4	0	6	3 -1	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	69 72	66	69	68	66	69	69	65	64 71	68	0.7	N.Pat	tters	on (√-uC	IFvie	-MOI	
0 0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 8	-1	5	2	5 2	2	-1	3	3 -1	65	65	65	65	55	49	51	65	65	65	65	65	6/ 72	64	70	58	51	56	67	64	68	68	0.3	N.Fvi	ewO	off to	nOc			
0 0 1	2	0	U	0	0	0	1	0	1 8	-1	12	-2 -1	-4 2	-3	0	-1	-1 -5	65	65	65	54	58	52	52	64	61	65	65	65	66 72	64	66	57	50	48	61	61	64	64	1.0	N.Fvi	ewO	n-L	Carn	iero	soff	
0 0 1	0	U 0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4 9	-2	5	-2	-3 2	7	0	4	0 4	65	65	65	65	65	64	54	56	59	65	65	65	69 74	63	70	65	62	51	63	59	69 69	65	0.5	Los C	arne	eros	Off	t t	u	
4 0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4 9	-14	6	1	-4	-1	4	3	-3 0	65	65	65	64	64	63	57	63	52	65	65	65	69 74	51	70	65	63	53	62	56	65 68	62	0.3	L.Car	nsO	р- и	len 4	An O	Ŧ	
0 0 1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4 9	0	6	2 4	3	7	-1	6	1 4	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	69 74	65	71	69	67	68	72	64	69 71	66	0.6	Gleni	n An	nie	off	to O	۲	
0 0 1	0	U 1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6 7	4	5	2 7	7	5	2	5	3 6	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	71	69	70	72	67	72	70	67	71 70	68	1.5	Glen	Anc	S L	c.Ca	oAu	n Of	¥.
0 0 1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4 8	5	7	1 5	8	6	4	7	2	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	73 65	69 72	70	72	70	66	73	71	69	70	67	0.8	W.Ca	oyn	Ó u	ff to	ЫоН	IOn	

		of f to Ho II on	on to Sto rke Rdoff	e off to on	e on to L carnosOf	os Off to On	os on - S Fvw Ave Of	O ff to O n	/ o n to Pat Off)f f to 21 7 On	.7 On to P aterson On	On to Tur npk Off
	Start Time	ollo		tork	tork	carn	CLU	.fvw	Ρ̈́́	att (R 21	att (
	Length (mi)	T 0.5	1 .7	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	<u></u> 0.4	<u>S</u>	0.3	S	م 0.6
(ə	7:00 AM	67	68	68	69	69	64	63	65	63	59	57
age	7:15 AM	65	69	68	64	67	64	66	65	63	59	58
er	7:30 AM	68	71	70	69	67	52	54	62	61	57	47
A	7:45 AM	65	67	44	20	23	38	53	53	43	28	32
ed(8:00 AM	66	66	70	49	41	42	52	59	57	38	38
Ž	8:15 AM	70	72	70	63	67	61	66	66	55	43	37
se	8:30 AM	65	68	67	66	68	67	66	66	66	64	54
ð	8:45 AM	67	70	66	67	68	67	67	66	65	62	59
	7:00 AM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65
	7:15 AM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	58
ed	7:30 AM	65	65	65	65	54	52	55	65	64	32	35
lat	7:45 AM	65	65	65	65	23	37	65	65	17	11	38
ทน	8:00 AM	65	65	65	65	30	16	65	65	47	24	35
Sir	8:15 AM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	60	25	26
	8:30 AM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	61	28	43
	8:45 AM	65	65	65	65	65	64	65	65	65	65	54
	7:00 AM	2	2	2	1	Λ	_1	_2	0	_2	-6	_8
	7:15 AM	0	4	3	-1	4 2	-1	-2	0	-2	-0 -6	-8
)ce	7:30 AM	3	6	5	4	13	0	-1	-3	-3	25	12
rer	7:45 AM	0	2	-21	-45	0	1	-12	-12	26	17	-6
ffe	8:00 AM	1	1	5	-16	11	26	-13	-6	10	14	3
ā	8:15 AM	5	7	5	-2	2	-4	1	1	-5	18	11
	8:30 AIVI 8:45 AM	0	3	2	1	3	2	1	1	5	36	11
	7:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
-	7:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
rec	7:30 AM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	3
ua	7:45 AM	0	0	10	103	0	0	3	3	16	10	1
Sq	8:00 AM	0	0	0	5	3	16	3	1	2	5	0
- iq	8:15 AM	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3
Ū	8:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2
	8:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Exhibit 12: US 101 Southbound AM Speed Contour Map - FREQ Simulated versus Observed

Exhibit 13: US 101 Southbound PM Speed Contour Map - FREQ Simulated versus Observed

	1		•									
	Start Time	Holl of f to Ho II on	Holl on to Sto rke Rdoff	5.0 Storke off to on	Storke on to LcarnosOf	2.0 Lcarnos Off to On	P.0 Cruos on - S Fvw Ave Of	S frw O ff to O n	8.0 S Fvw o n to Pa t Off	E.0 Patt Of f to 21 7 On	E.0 SR 217 On to P aterson On	9.0 9.0 Patt On to Tur npk Off
	4:00 PM	69	74	73	70	70	70	70	60	44	30	40
	4:15 PM	66	67	66	66	65	62	61	46	28	30	39
e)	4:30 PM	68	71	68	65	65	61	63	62	40	33	35
ag	4:45 PM	71	73	76	74	72	63	64	38	20	21	28
ē	5:00 PM	66	68	67	68	64	58	56	41	13	18	30
A	5:15 PM	67	70	69	67	49	36	27	20	14	18	30
)p	5:30 PM	70	75	75	72	50	52	50	31	18	19	31
Š	5:45 PM	67	68	68	69	52	49	57	35	14	17	30
Ser	6:00 PM	68	68	66	66	65	62	63	51	45	40	41
a d	6:15 DM	60	72	72	60	66	64	60	69	4J 65	57	55
0	6:20 DM	66	72	72 C0	67	64	04 C1	61	60	61	61	55
		00	68	08	67	64	61	61	63	64	51	59
	6:45 PIVI	65	68	65	67	69	69	66	63	61	58	57
	4:00 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	4/	25	52
	4:15 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	33	19	35
	4:30 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	22	23	34
-	4:45 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	47	14	19	26
tec	5:00 PM	65	65	65	65	65	59	20	24	12	18	32
lat	5:15 PM	65	65	65	65	65	59	15	22	14	20	32
1 2	5:30 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	48	28	14	20	29
Sil	5:45 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	46	13	19	24
	6:00 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	37	28	35
	6:15 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	19	35
	6:30 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	29	16
	6:45 PM	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	48	17
	4:00 DM	4	0	0		-	-	-	-	2	-	10
	4:00 PIVI 4:15 PM	4	9	8 1	5	5	5	5	-5 10	-3	5 11	-12
	4:10 PM	2	2	3	0	0	-3 -4	-4 -2	-19	-5 18	10	4
	4:45 PM	6	8	11	9	7	-2	-1	-9	6	2	2
Ce	5:00 PM	1	3	2	3	-1	-1	36	17	1	0	-2
e	5:15 PM	2	5	4	2	-16	-23	12	-2	0	-2	-2
fer	5:30 PM	5	10	10	7	-15	-13	2	3	4	-1	2
Dif.	5:45 PM	2	3	3	4	-13	-16	-8	-11	1	-2	6
_	6:00 PM	3	3	1	1	0	-3	-2	-14	8	12	6
	6:15 PM	4	7	7	4	1	-1	4	3	0	38	20
	6:30 PM	1	3	3	2	-1	-4	-4	-2	-1	32	43
	0:45 PIVI	0	3	0	2	4	4	T	-2	-4	10	40
 	4.00 514	0	4		6	2	^	6	2	^	ć	~
	4:00 PIVI	0	1	1	U	0	0	U	0	0	1	3
	4:15 PIVI	0	U	0	U	U	U	U	8	1	4	U
	4:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	0
þ	4:45 PM	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0
are	5:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	7	0	0	0
nb	5:15 PM	0	0	0	0	5	14	5	0	0	0	0
-S	5:30 PM	0	1	1	1	4	3	0	0	1	0	0
ļ.	5:45 PM	0	0	0	0	3	5	1	4	0	0	1
	6:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	1
	6:15 PM	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	7
	6:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	31
1	6:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	28

Travel Times

Exhibit 14 and Exhibit 15 provide comparisons of FREQ simulated versus observed travel times through the US 101 northbound corridor during AM peak period. As shown, differences are within ±15 percent in all cases when compared to observed floating car data. Simulated travel times are slightly lower than the observed data.

Exhibit 15 and

Exhibit 16 provide comparisons of FREQ simulated versus observed travel times through the US 101 northbound corridor during PM peak period. As shown, differences are within ± 15 percent in all cases, when compared to observed floating car data. Simulated travel times are slightly higher than the observed data in most cases.

Exhibit 18 and Exhibit 19 provide comparisons of FREQ simulated versus observed travel times through the US 101 southbound corridor during AM peak period. As shown, differences are within ±15 percent in most cases, except for two time intervals, when compared to observed floating car data.

Exhibit 20 and Exhibit 21 provide comparisons of FREQ simulated versus observed travel times through the US 101 southbound corridor during PM peak period. As shown, differences are within ±15 percent in all cases except for three time intervals, when compared to observed floating car data. Simulated travel times are either higher or lower than the observed data.

Start Time	Observed (October 4 th -6 th , 2016)	FREQ	Differ	rence
	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Percent
7:00 AM	6.0	6.1	0.0	0%
7:15 AM	6.0	6.1	0.0	0%
7:30 AM	6.3	6.1	-0.3	-4%
7:45 AM	6.3	6.1	-0.2	-3%
8:00 AM	6.0	6.1	0.1	2%
8:15 AM	6.2	6.1	-0.2	-3%
8:30 AM	6.1	6.1	-0.1	-1%
8:45 AM	6.1	6.1	0.0	0%
			Total Cases	8
		Case	es Met (15% criteria)	8
			% Met (15% criteria)	100%

Exhibit 14: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Travel Times – US 101 Northbound AM

Note: Travel times are measured between Turnpike Road and Cathedral Oaks Road, a total distance of approximately 6.85 miles.





Start Time	Observed (Wednesday 5/1)	FREQ	Difference			
	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Percent		
4:00 PM	6.3	6.3	0.0	1%		
4:15 PM	6.5	6.4	-0.1	-2%		
4:30 PM	6.4	6.6	0.2	2%		
4:45 PM	6.7	6.6	-0.1	-1%		
5:00 PM	6.2	6.4	0.2	3%		
5:15 PM	7.1	6.8	-0.3	-5%		
5:30 PM	7.0	6.7	-0.3	-4%		
5:45 PM	6.4	6.6	0.2	3%		
6:00 PM	6.1	6.5	0.4	7%		
6:15 PM	6.5	6.4	0.0	0%		
6:30 PM	6.1	6.6	0.4	7%		
6:45 PM	5.7	6.3	0.6	10%		
			Total Cases	12		
		Case	es Met (15% criteria)	12		
			% Met (15% criteria)	100%		

Exhibit 16: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Travel Times - US 101 Northbound PM

Note: Travel times are measured between Turnpike Road and Cathedral Oaks Road, a total distance of approximately 6.85 miles.





Start Time	Observed (Wednesday 5/1)	FREQ	Differ	ence	
	Minutes	Minutes Minutes		Percent	
7:00 AM	6.4	6.4	0.0	1%	
7:15 AM	6.4	5.4	-1.0	-16%	
7:30 AM	6.8	7.9	1.0	15%	
7:45 AM	10.7	9.4	-1.3	-12%	
8:00 AM	8.6	9.3	0.8	9%	
8:15 AM	7.3	6.5	-0.8	-11%	
8:30 AM	6.4	6.4	0.0	0%	
8:45 AM	6.3	6.2	-0.1	-2%	
			Total Cases	8	
		Case	es Met (15% criteria)	6	
		(% Met (15% criteria)	75%	

Exhibit 18: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Travel Times – US 101 Southbound AM

Note: Travel times are measured between Cathedral Oaks Road and Turnpike Road, a total distance of approximately 6.88 miles.





Start Time	Observed (Wednesday 5/1)	FREQ	Difference				
	Minutes	Minutes	Minutes	Percent			
4:00 PM	7.9	7.2	-0.8	-10%			
4:15 PM	9.0	7.2	-1.8	-20%			
4:30 PM	7.8	8.1	0.3	4%			
4:45 PM	9.0	10.0	0.9	10%			
5:00 PM	9.7	11.6	1.9	20%			
5:15 PM	12.1	12.2	0.1	1%			
5:30 PM	10.3	10.3	-0.1	-1%			
5:45 PM	10.6	9.7	-0.9	-9%			
6:00 PM	7.7	6.3	-1.4	-18%			
6:15 PM	6.3	6.4	0.1	1%			
6:30 PM	6.5	6.8	0.3	5%			
6:45 PM	6.4	6.0	-0.4	-7%			
			Total Cases	12			
		Case	es Met (15% criteria)	9			
			% Met (15% criteria)	75%			

Exhibit 20: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Travel Times – US 101 Southbound PM

Note: Travel times are measured between Cathedral Oaks Road and Turnpike Road, a total distance of approximately 6.88 miles.





Traffic Volumes

FREQ simulated (or processed) origin-destination traffic volumes were compared to actual traffic volume counts at on-ramps and off-ramps, as well as input traffic volumes at the beginning (entry) and ending (exit) subsections of the freeway mainline. Comparison summary tables are provided in Exhibit 22 through Exhibit 25. In general, simulated traffic volumes matched actual counts reasonably well.

Exhibit 22: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Traffic Volumes - US 101 Northbound AM

TS	Mainline s/o N Tumpike Rd	N Turnpike Rd On	N Patterson Ave On	N Fairview Ave On	N Los Carneros Rd On	Glen Annie Rd On	Cathedral Oaks Rd/Calle Real On	N Turnpike Rd Off	N Patterson Ave Off	SR217 Off	N Fairview Ave Off	N Los Carneros Rd Off	Glen Annie Rd Off	Winchester Canyon Rd/Calle Real Off	Mainline n/o Cathedral Oaks Rd
	Final FREO	Input Vol	umes - I	Hourly Fl	ow Data	(15-mini	ute data	x 4)							
1	3272	272	192	140	64	28	104	340	476	760	616	548	768	132	432
2	3712	412	236	144	104	48	60	416	568	1012	616	636	808	188	472
3	4904	528	368	176	120	44	84	764	704	1124	852	748	1280	200	552
4	5640	652	416	244	140	32	76	676	900	1564	1052	1024	1256	292	436
5	5284	628	408	216	112	28	64	504	756	1364	1176	1080	1136	220	504
6	5084	592	396	200	188	40	108	536	812	1148	1128	1000	1332	224	428
7	4956	580	400	256	140	40	92	592	672	1268	996	884	1228	204	620
8	5264	516	284	196	100	48	64	668	720	1264	976	836	1064	224	720
Total	38,116	4,180	2,700	1,572	968	308	652	4,496	5,608	9,504	7,412	6,756	8,872	1,684	4,164
	,	,	,	,				,		,					,
	FREQ Outpu	ut Volume	es - Hour	ly Flow D	Data										
SS	1	3	6	. 8	10	12	14	1	3	4	6	8	10	14	0
1	3272	272	192	136	64	28	104	340	476	760	616	548	768	128	432
2	3716	412	236	144	100	48	60	416	568	1012	612	640	804	192	472
3	4904	528	368	176	120	44	84	764	704	1124	848	748	1280	200	556
4	5640	648	416	248	136	32	76	676	900	1564	1052	1024	1256	296	428
5	5284	628	404	216	112	28	64	504	756	1364	1172	1080	1136	220	504
6	5084	588	400	204	188	40	108	536	812	1148	1128	1004	1332	224	428
7	4952	584	396	252	140	40	92	592	672	1268	996	884	1224	204	616
8	5260	520	280	200	100	48	64	668	720	1264	976	836	1064	224	720
	Percent Sim	nulated ir	n FREQ (S	Simulate	d/Observ	/ed Volur	nes)								
SS	1	3	6	8	10	12	14	1	3	4	6	8	10	12	14
1	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
2	100%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	101%	100%	102%	100%
3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%
4	100%	99%	100%	102%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	98%
5	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
6	100%	99%	101%	102%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
7	100%	101%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
8	100%	101%	99%	102%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

-															
TS	Mainline s/o N Tumpike Rd	N Tumpike Rd On	N Patterson Ave On	N Fairview Ave On	N Los Carneros Rd On	Glen Annie Rd On	Cathedral Oaks Rd/Calle Real On	N Tumpike Rd Off	N Patterson Ave Off	SR217 Off	N Fairview Ave Off	N Los Carneros Rd Off	Glen Annie Rd Off	Winchester Canyon Rd/Calle Real Off	Mainline n/o Cathedral Oaks Rd
	Final FREC	lnput Vo	lumes -	Hourly Fl	ow Data	(15-min	ute data	x 4)							
1	4864	652	472	420	324	204	204	880	856	816	772	532	1344	388	1552
2	4704	508	476	416	312	184	232	792	796	680	812	424	1348	404	1576
3	4368	680	532	420	428	176	224	964	752	672	836	392	1308	332	1572
4	5116	580	560	400	392	200	212	908	860	808	868	440	1576	432	1568
5	4904	720	564	192	460	200	204	920	836	736	776	512	1696	468	1628
5	4304 EE20	616	104	492	400	220	156	1072	000	750	204	512	1672	400	1616
0	5520	510	404	404	444	210	150	1072	000	700	700	544	1072	404	1010
/	5504	516	452	420	340	188	216	928	844	824	/88	552	1580	436	1684
8	5148	512	348	388	312	132	164	904	856	//6	684	536	1440	364	1444
9	4576	484	312	388	288	108	144	780	692	660	652	468	1364	372	1312
10	4304	436	260	392	276	120	152	744	716	612	568	388	1432	356	1124
11	3888	476	248	328	208	104	124	676	660	532	524	396	1316	260	1012
12	3716	476	192	328	180	104	100	692	592	604	560	340	1252	252	804
Total	56,612	6,656	4,900	4,796	3,964	1,964	2,132	10,260	9,340	8,488	8,644	5,524	17,328	4,548	16,892
	FREQ Outp	ut Volume	es - Houi	rlv Flow I	Data										
SS	1	3	6	, 8	10	12	14	1	3	4	6	8	10	14	0
1	4864	652	472	420	328	204	204	880	856	816	772	532	1344	388	1556
2	4704	512	476	416	312	18/	227	702	796	665	707	121	1220	103	1555
2	4764	690	522	410	120	176	232	064	750	676	940	200	1212	222	1535
1	4308 E120	580	552	424	202	200	224	009	960	010	040	330	1512	121	1570
4	5120	580	560	400	392	200	212	908	860	726	8/3	440	1500	431	1500
5	4908	/20	568	492	460	228	204	920	836	/36	//6	512	1500	454	1574
6	5524	616	484	408	444	216	156	1072	880	768	804	544	1500	453	1526
7	5504	516	448	424	340	188	216	928	844	815	780	551	1500	428	1641
8	5148	508	348	384	312	132	164	904	856	781	688	537	1500	383	1509
9	4576	488	312	388	288	108	144	780	692	660	656	468	1500	408	1427
10	4300	436	260	392	272	120	152	744	716	598	556	381	1500	350	1118
11	3888	476	248	332	208	104	124	676	660	538	529	399	1500	262	1018
12	3716	480	192	324	180	104	100	692	592	604	564	340	1252	252	800
	Percent Sir	mulated i	n FREQ (Simulate	d/Observ	ved Volu	mes)								
SS	1	3	6	8	10	12	14	1	3	4	6	8	10	12	14
1	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	99%	99%	100%	99%
2	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	102%	100%	100%	100%
1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	101%	101%	95%	100%	100%
4 F	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101/0	100%	0.00/	070/	100/0
5	100%	100%	100%	1040/	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	00%	J/70	J/70
6	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	90%	94%	94%
7	100%	100%	99%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%	100%	95%	98%	97%
8	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	101%	100%	104%	105%	105%
9	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	110%	110%	109%
10	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	98%	105%	98%	99%
11	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	101%	101%	114%	101%	101%
12	100%	101%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%
1	1000/	100%	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/

Exhibit 23: Comparison of Observed versus Simulated Traffic Volumes – US 101 Northbound PM

TS	Mainline n/o Cathedral Oaks Rd	Cathedral Oaks Rd On	Storke Rd On	S Los Cameros Rd On	S Fairview Ave On	SR217 On	S Patterson Ave On	S Tumpike Rd On	Cathedral Oaks Rd Off	Storke Rd Off	S Los Cameros Rd Off	S Fairview Ave Off	S Patterson Ave Off	S Tumpike Rd Off	Mainline s/o S Tumpike Rd
	Final FREQ	Input Vo	lumes - I	Hourly Fl	ow Data	(15-min	ute data	x 4)							
1	1384	332	940	256	516	392	512	524	120	184	240	288	260	280	3484
2	1320	488	1420	428	696	580	688	784	124	196	268	344	320	412	4740
3	1440	624	1756	612	832	692	908	992	152	212	412	340	416	488	5836
4	1408	592	1484	524	840	584	876	988	124	280	812	384	644	708	4344
5	1432	484	1288	360	756	596	784	860	120	188	448	480	460	528	4336
6	1196	464	1348	360	824	492	884	812	72	140	252	412	420	460	4624
7	1200	380	1356	412	848	552	872	752	100	152	240	384	340	460	4696
8	1616	376	1376	500	832	592	812	820	96	116	240	376	380	484	5232
Total	10.996	3.740	10.968	3.452	6.144	4.480	6.336	6.532	908	1.468	2.912	3.008	3.240	3.820	37.292
	,			,			,			,	,	,			
	FREQ Outpu	ut Volum	es - Hour	lyFlow	Data										
SS	1	3	5	, 7	9	11	12	14	1	3	5	7	9	12	14
1	1384	336	940	256	516	392	512	524	120	184	240	292	264	276	3484
2	1320	484	1420	428	696	580	688	784	124	196	268	344	320	408	4740
3	1440	624	1756	608	832	692	908	992	152	212	412	331	411	484	5392
4	1408	592	1488	524	840	584	876	988	124	280	812	375	583	661	3988
5	1428	488	1292	356	756	596	784	860	120	188	448	480	500	558	5000
6	1196	464	1348	360	824	492	884	812	72	140	252	416	416	460	4012
7	1196	380	1356	412	848	552	872	752	100	152	240	384	340	456	5122
8	1612	376	1376	500	832	592	812	820	96	116	240	376	380	484	5228
	Percent Sin	nulated i	n FREQ (S	Simulate	d/Observ	ed Volu	mes)								
SS	1	3	5	7	9	11	12	14	1	3	5	7	9	12	14
1	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	102%	99%	100%
2	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%
3	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	99%	99%	92%
4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	91%	93%	92%
5	100%	101%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	109%	106%	115%
6	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	99%	100%	8/%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99% 100%	109%
× ×	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
IOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%

Exhibit 25: Comparison	of Observed versus	s Simulated Traffic	Volumes – US	101 Southbound PM
------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------------	-------------------

	ainline n/o Cathedral aks Rd	athedral Oaks Rd On	torke Rd On	Los Carneros Rd On	Fairview Ave On	R217 On	Patterson Ave On	Turnpike Rd On	athedral Oaks Rd Off	torke Rd Off	Los Carneros Rd Off	Fairview Ave Off	Patterson Ave Off	Turnpike Rd Off	ainline s/o S Turnpike Rd
TS	ΣŐ	Ö	Ū.	S	S	S	S	S	Ü	ũ	S	S	S	S	Σ
	Final FREQ	Input Vo	lumes - I	Hourly Fl	ow Data	(15-min	ute data	x 4)							
1	724	272	1328	728	888	1016	900	672	80	60	132	268	348	548	5092
2	836	296	1244	700	832	916	896	648	72	84	148	344	416	484	4820
3	796	312	1240	936	936	948	900	592	72	76	136	276	476	520	5104
4	1012	296	1248	848	876	820	836	592	60	76	164	352	504	412	4960
5	756	344	1352	1188	1168	696	1028	688	80	80	132	268	496	500	5664
6	696	220	1244	1068	884	632	972	672	84	84	120	408	544	508	4640
7	656	260	1208	936	908	680	920	640	72	72	140	280	520	460	4664
,	724	200	1140	760	000	222	702	E 9.4	72	00	124	200	140	400 F26	4004
°	724	200	1140	700	700	752	792	564	70	00	124	300	440	550	4220
9	6/6	228	1140	748	/88	896	/60	612	56	68	96	256	400	530	4436
10	620	284	1184	604	656	840	676	588	64	64	104	252	324	544	4100
11	628	236	1132	616	644	800	640	704	36	60	100	244	324	488	4148
12	576	204	1212	540	632	740	552	544	56	48	92	284	296	520	3704
Total	8,700	3,212	14,672	9,680	10,020	9,716	9,872	7,536	808	860	1,488	3,540	5,096	6,056	55,560
	FREQ Outpu	ut Volume	es - Hour	ly Flow I	Data										
SS	1	3	5	7	9	11	12	14	1	3	5	7	9	12	14
1	728	272	1328	728	888	1016	900	672	80	60	132	272	352	514	4813
2	832	300	1244	704	836	916	896	648	72	84	152	344	416	488	4648
3	792	312	1240	940	936	948	900	592	72	76	132	276	476	512	4992
4	1012	296	1248	848	872	820	836	592	60	76	164	352	504	412	4792
5	756	348	1348	1188	1168	696	1028	688	80	80	132	268	473	445	4988
6	696	220	1248	1068	884	632	972	672	84	84	120	408	544	512	4972
7	656	264	1208	936	912	680	920	640	72	72	136	284	524	460	4940
8	720	260	1144	768	808	732	792	584	76	88	124	312	444	532	4584
9	672	232	1136	752	788	896	760	612	56	68	96	256	400	536	4576
10	620	280	1184	600	660	840	676	588	64	64	104	248	320	548	4340
11	628	232	1132	616	648	800	640	704	36	60	100	244	324	488	4104
12	576	200	1212	540	632	740	556	544	56	48	92	284	292	524	3944
	Percent Sin	nulated i	n FREQ (S	Simulate	d/Observ	ed Volu	mes)								
SS	1	3	5	7	9	11	12	14	1	3	5	7	9	12	14
1	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	101%	94%	95%
2	100%	101%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	103%	100%	100%	101%	96%
3	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%	100%	98%	98%
4	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
5	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	89%	88%
6	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	107%
7	100%	102%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	101%	101%	100%	106%
8	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	99%	99%	108%
9	99%	102%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	103%
10	100%	99%	100%	99%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	99%	101%	106%
11	100%	98%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%
12	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	101%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	101%	106%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0.0%	0.0%	101%

CONCLUSIONS

The FREQ models developed and calibrated for US 101 are satisfactorily validated. Major bottleneck locations, lengths of queues, and duration of congestion were shown to match reasonably well with observed conditions on the speed contour maps. Simulated travel times were within 15 percent of the floating car run travel times in most cases. Finally, traffic volumes processed by FREQ matched reasonably well with traffic counts at origins (on-ramps) and destinations (off-ramps) along the freeway corridor.

APPENDIX D: ONLINE SURVEY

The questionnaire and detailed results of the online survey are attached. The survey was conducted from November 29, 2016 to January 31, 2017. Approximately 214 responses were recorded. The survey was administered by Regional Government Services.



Goleta Ramp Metering Study Survey

Welcome to Our Survey!

The Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) recently held a joint workshop in conjunction with the City of Goleta, the County of Santa Barbara, Caltrans and the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB) for the US 101 Goleta Ramp Metering Study.

This Study will determine the cost effectiveness of a systemic application of ramp metering on US 101 to improve traffic flow and mobility within and through the Goleta Valley. Ramp metering can be a cost effective tool for reducing congestion during peak periods in the busiest areas and increasing safety on US 101 without negatively impacting operations on local streets.

We are seeking input from drivers that regularly use the intersections and interchanges in the study area for commuting or any other trip purpose. You are invited to share your impressions about traffic at various on-ramps and intersections within the study area. The results will be used along with traffic studies to assess the feasibility of using meters to reduce freeway traffic congestion.

1. How do you think ramp meters would impact your overall travel time?



It will get longer

It won't change much

I don't know

2. How do you think ramp meters would impact safety?

- The roads would get safer
- The roads would be less safe
- There would be no significant change
-) I don't know

3. Are you in favor of ramp meters for US 101 and/or SR 217 in the Goleta area?

\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	No
\bigcirc	l don't know

4. What time of day do you most frequently drive in the study area (pick all that apply)?



5. What is your primary purpose for driving in the study area?





Errands



Other (please specify)

Turnpike Rd to US 101 Northbound On-Ramp



6. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Turnpike Rd on-ramp?

Not bad at all.

Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Patterson Ave to US 101 Northbound On-ramp



7. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Nortbound via the Patterson Ave on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Fairview Ave to US 101 Northbound On-ramp



8. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Fairview Ave on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.



Los Carneros Rd to US 101 Northbound On-ramp

9. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Los Carneros Rd on-ramp?



Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Glen Annie Rd to US 101 Northbound On-ramp



10. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Glenn Annie Rd on-ramp?



Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.





11. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Calle Real/Cathedral Oaks Rd on-ramp?



Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.





12. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto SR 217 Westbound via the Hollister Ave on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.


Hollister Ave to SR 217 Eastbound On-ramp

13. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto SR 217 Eastbound via the Hollister Ave on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.





14. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Turnpike Rd on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.





15. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via Patterson Ave on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Fairview Ave to US 101 Southbound On-ramp



16. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Fairview Ave on-ramp?



Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.



SR 217 Eastbound to US 101 Southbound

17. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the SR 217 on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.



Los Carneros Rd to US 101 Southbound On-ramp

18. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Los Carneros Rd on-ramp?



NUL DAU AL AII.

Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Storke Rd to US 101 Southbound On-ramp



19. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Storke Rd on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Cathedral Oaks Rd to US 101 Southbound On-ramp



20. How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 via the Cathedral Oaks Rd on-ramp?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Calle Real at Turnpike Rd



21. How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Turnpike Rd intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Hollister Ave at Turnpike Rd



22. How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Turnpike Rd intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Hollister Ave at Patterson Ave



23. How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Patterson Ave intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Calle Real at Patterson Ave



24. How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Patterson Ave intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Calle Real at Fairview Ave



25. How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Fairview Ave?

Not bad at all.

Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Hollister Ave at Fairview Ave



26. How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Fairview Ave intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Calle Real at Los Carneros Rd



27. How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Los Carneros Rd intersection?

Not bad at all.



Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.



Hollister Ave at Los Carneros Rd

28. How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Los Carneros Rd intersection?

Not bad at all.



) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

Hollister Ave at Storke Rd



29. How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Storke Rd intersection?

Not bad at all.

Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.

) Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.

No opinion.

30. Is there anything else you would like to share?

Done

Q1 How do you think ramp meters would impact your overall travel time?



Answer Choices	Responses	
It will get shorter	14.08%	30
It will get longer	29.11%	62
It won't change much	35.68%	76
I don't know	21.13%	45
Total	24	13

Q2 How do you think ramp meters would impact safety?



Answer Choices	Responses	
The roads would get safer	33.96%	72
The roads would be less safe	8.02%	17
There would be no significant change	39.15%	83
I don't know	18.87%	40
Total		212

Q3 Are you in favor of ramp meters for US 101 and/or SR 217 in the Goleta area?



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	31.28% 66
No	38.86% 82
l don't know	29.86% 63
Total	211





Answer Choices	Responses
Earlier than 7 AM	9.86% 21
7 - 9 AM	65.26% 139
9 AM - 4 PM	28.64% 61
4 - 6 PM	80.75% 172
Later than 6 PM	32.39% 69
Total Respondents: 213	

Q5 What is your primary purpose for driving in the study area?



Answer Choices	Responses
Commuting to work	69.48% 148
Getting to school	1.88% 4
Errands	21.13% 45
Recreation	4.23% 9
Other (please specify)	3.29% 7
Total	213

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	dr appts, going to businesses in area, going to see clients, errands	2/1/2017 8:03 PM
2	Commuting AND taking kids to school	1/20/2017 9:39 AM
3	Getting to work, school drop-off for children, and errands.	1/17/2017 1:41 PM
4	Going to daycare for drop-off/pick-up	1/17/2017 1:30 PM
5	I live and work in the area.	12/14/2016 9:46 AM
6	I avoid peak drive times when possible and often prefer to ride my bike	12/14/2016 9:36 AM
7	visits to UCSB and Goleta shopping	12/13/2016 11:14 PM

Q6 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Turnpike Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	31.60%	67
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	31.60%	67
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	8.02%	17
No opinion.	28.77%	61
Total		212

Q7 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Nortbound via the Patterson Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	31.60%	67
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	32.08%	68
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	14.15%	30
No opinion.	22.17%	47
Total		212

Q8 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Fairview Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	18.31%	39
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	36.62%	78
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	27.70%	59
No opinion.	17.37%	37
Total		213

Q9 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Los Carneros Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	32.86%	70
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	29.11%	62
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	14.08%	30
No opinion.	23.94%	51
Total		213

Q10 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Glenn Annie Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	36.19%	76
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	17.14%	36
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	14.76%	31
No opinion.	31.90%	67
Total		210

Q11 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Northbound via the Calle Real/Cathedral Oaks Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	46.70%	99
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	8.49%	18
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	2.83%	6
No opinion.	41.98%	89
Total		212

Q12 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto SR 217 Westbound via the Hollister Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	44.39%	95
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	24.30%	52
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	9.81%	21
No opinion.	21.50%	46
Total		214

Q13 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto SR 217 Eastbound via the Hollister Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	17.37%	37
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	33.33%	71
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	28.17%	60
No opinion.	21.13%	45
Total		213

Q14 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Turnpike Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices		Responses	
	Not bad at all.	18.57%	39
	Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	29.52%	62
	Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	24.29%	51
	No opinion.	27.62%	58
То	tal		210

Q15 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via Patterson Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses
Not bad at all.	11.00% 23
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	22.49% 47
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	47.85% 100
No opinion.	18.66% 39
Total	209

Q16 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Fairview Ave on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	28.37%	59
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	30.77%	64
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	28.85%	60
No opinion.	12.02%	25
Total		208

Q17 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the SR 217 on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses
Not bad at all.	6.19% 13
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	21.43% 45
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	65.71% 138
No opinion.	6.67% 14
Total	210

Q18 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Los Carneros Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	29.81%	62
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	34.13%	71
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	11.54%	24
No opinion.	24.52%	51
Total		208

Q19 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 Southbound via the Storke Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	26.32%	55
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	33.97%	71
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	25.84%	54
No opinion.	13.88%	29
Total		209
Q20 How would you describe the traffic when merging onto US 101 via the Cathedral Oaks Rd on-ramp?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	42.79%	89
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	9.62%	20
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	2.88%	6
No opinion.	44.71%	93
Total		208

Q21 How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Turnpike Rd intersection?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	19.90%	41
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	35.44%	73
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	7.28%	15
No opinion.	37.38%	77
Total		206

Q22 How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Turnpike Rd intersection?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	16.02%	33
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	40.29%	83
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	12.62%	26
No opinion.	31.07%	64
Total		206

Q23 How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Patterson Ave intersection?



Answer Choices	Responses
Not bad at all.	13.88% 29
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	47.85% 100
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	19.62% 41
No opinion.	18.66% 39
Total	209

Q24 How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Patterson Ave intersection?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	18.36%	38
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	40.58%	84
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	22.22%	46
No opinion.	18.84%	39
Total		207



Answer Choices	Responses
Not bad at all.	5.19% 11
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	17.92% 38
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	70.75% 150
No opinion.	6.13% 13
Total	212

25 / 33

Q26 How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Fairview Ave intersection?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	14.76%	31
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	44.29%	93
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	31.90%	67
No opinion.	9.05%	19
Total		210

Q27 How would you describe the traffic at the Calle Real / Los Carneros Rd intersection?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	54.33% 1	13
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	20.67%	43
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	2.40%	5
No opinion.	22.60%	47
Total	2	208

Q28 How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Los Carneros Rd intersection?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Not bad at all.	30.81%	65
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	45.02%	95
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	7.58%	16
No opinion.	16.59%	35
Total		211

Q29 How would you describe the traffic at the Hollister Ave / Storke Rd intersection?



Answer Choices		
Not bad at all.	9.57%	20
Some congestion/queues during peak commute times.	24.88%	52
Very bad congestion/queues during peak commute times.	56.46%	118
No opinion.	9.09%	19
Total		209

Q30 Is there anything else you would like to share?

Answered: 75 Skipped: 141

#	Responses	Date
1	fairview @calle real is unacceptable; new hotel is unconscionable; vega to shirrell to fairview to encina being used as speedy shortcut to avoid intersection-dangerous speeding and traffic in residential area because of ungodly fairview-calle real intersection waits. fairview north offramp backs up onto freeway causingdangerous stops	2/1/2017 8:03 PM
2	The timing of the light at the Fairview/Calle Real intersection and the Fairview/101 on/off ramp intersection very badly need to be adjusted. I understand the two traffic lights are timed together. I think a very simply fix would be to have both lights cycle more frequently. There is a lot of time wasted with no one passing through the intersection.	1/31/2017 1:09 PM
3	northbound offramp at Glen Annie backs up into freeway at rush hourscould use right turn lane there as well. Freeway should be three lanes past this point.	1/28/2017 4:53 PM
4	You are taking this survey before HUNDREDS of units are finished on both sides of Los Carneros S. of 101. Villages at Los Carneros' 460 units will bring more traffic there as will Willow Springs III and its 360 proposed units just across the way. Also you are not accounting for the thousands of units UCSB is building on campus to fulfill its LRDP obligations. It's going to get worse.	1/27/2017 4:54 PM
5	Yes, will there ever be a left turn arrow at Fairview and Encina Rd?	1/27/2017 12:13 PM
6	I lived in Fresno, CA when they implemented the metered lights program. It made merging into traffic much more dangerous as all the vehicles were now merging at much slower speeds; forcing traffic on the freeway to slow down and adjust. It took a commute of 12 miles from 30 minutes and changed it to 40 minutes. There was only about 2~3 minutes queued for the light. They alleviated the issues in highly impacted merge areas by adding an extra lane. If you take a day to experience a work commute in Fresno you may feel we've got it pretty good here in Goleta.	1/24/2017 9:30 AM
7	Need to have meter at Patterson to 101 Southbound and Turnpike to 101 Southbound. Should be no meter on the 217.	1/18/2017 9:11 PM
8	No meters. Goleta over built. Let the congestion stay that way so no further building is allowed. Not the lovely city that it once was!	1/18/2017 5:33 PM
9	The real problem is 217-E merging with 101-S at rush hour times. There is a high volume of traffic funneling in, and it requires merging into the existing 3 lanes of 101-S. What you should do is remove the 3rd lane that starts at Fairview on 101-S, and instead have the 101-S 3rd lane begin at the 217 junction. This will allow for a much smoother unification (no need for zipper merging), since many more vehicles enter 101 from the 217 than from Fairview.	1/18/2017 2:35 PM
10	north bound 101 at fairview merging area with double merging #1 situation 1 lane merges into lane 2 and with #2 situation on ramp from fairview entering lane ?? 2 or 3 this double merge in proximity of each other is a potential traffic hazard and concern	1/18/2017 1:05 PM
11	stop building more buildings	1/18/2017 11:50 AM
12	Thanks!	1/18/2017 11:36 AM
13	If traffic metering is on the table, you should seriously consider traffic metering on the southbound on-ramps at Turnpike and Patterson. Adding only to the 217 will not solve the southbound rush hour congestion problem. All three on-ramps need to be addressed as a whole to find a solution.	1/18/2017 8:37 AM
14	Fairview Ave at Calle Real and at Hollister as well as the Stork Hollister intersections are bad most of the time	1/18/2017 8:32 AM
15	I think adding round-abouts on Hollister would cause lots of traffic & accidents.	1/17/2017 6:26 PM
16	Fairview should go straight from south Fairview to North fairview.	1/17/2017 5:53 PM
17	At the Calle Real Patterson intersection, people engage in very dangerous right turns een in the face of oncoming traffic down Patterson, and also they block the intersection not allowing people on Patterson to travel. Also the Patterson on to 217 onramp is very dangrous because of limited visibility and speed of traffic from 101 onto 217.	1/17/2017 5:20 PM
18	If the main concern is ramps onto 101, the only noticable slowdowns are 217 onto SB 101 during afternoon peak and Patterson at same time, which merges with 217 traffic that just merged onto 101. Else, this section of the 101 is fine.	1/17/2017 3:55 PM
19	Turnpike and Calle Real gets really bad because of people going to in and out burger. People are always making weird stops in the lanes and not pulling into the turn lane. In and out is always busy to.	1/17/2017 3:28 PM

Goleta Ramp Metering Study Survey

20	I try to avoid Calle Real/Fairview and Hollister/Storke if at all possible	1/17/2017 3:15 PM
21	I have lived in Goleta my entire life and have noticed traffic has gotten tremendously worse in the last couple of years. The city keeps adding unnecessary stop lights which back up the streets terribly. Remove extra the stop lights (especially the light at Glenn Annie and Hollister! Please Remove!) and add more yield signs and merging lanes. The city has built more then it can accommodate on its streets. Make all the high school students go to the high school they live by and not all to Dos Pueblos. That causes a ton of bad traffic too.	1/17/2017 3:13 PM
22	Nothing good comes from metered on-ramps.	1/17/2017 2:58 PM
23	Fairview/Calle Real intersection(s) need most attention	1/17/2017 2:54 PM
24	How will ramp meters push traffic onto Sumida Gardens and Hollister as people try to bypass them? Metering may help slow people down as they approach the congested traffic on EB217 to SB101 during evening commute - which could be good. I get off at Hollister and live on Sumida Gardens, so am concerned about more traffic on the local streets as a result, but I do sometimes go downtown after work and have dealt with that heavy traffic on SB101 so know if's a problem.	1/17/2017 2:47 PM
25	If this study is to plan for roundabout, please educate the public about how to drive through roundabouts (ie. not the same as stop sign). If this survey to conducted with the intention of traffic lights, the timing at the Storke/Hollister area could be reviewed, plus the Kmart shopping center parking lot entrances/exits. If this study is for more traffic lights for bike paths, my vote would go for no (example: the lights at the 101S Glen Annie on-ramp are confusing and excessive, rarely used, and the since its inception I've seen it used by a bicyclist only once (I travel in the area daily).	1/17/2017 2:46 PM
26	change the speed limit at glen annie and calle real People drive 65 mph!!! cannot turn safely at colusa !!	1/17/2017 2:41 PM
27	There is ALWAYS congestion at the Fairview/Calle Real intersection. Lunchtime you can easily wait 10 mins at the lights sometimes to get to Calle Real from the Hollister side. A roundabout there would really help. Also at Storke and Hollister it seems like it is always congested there. A roundabout may help too I am completely opposed to a meter at the ramp of 217 to 101 Southbound. Sometimes there is zero congestion at traffic hr. It really depends on the day. A meter there is unnecessary and would not help improve the traffic situation, because all of the traffic congestion is actually created by cars coming from Goleta (business district off Los Carneros). If anything a traffic meter there would probably cause an increased slowdown on the 217it would disrupt the natural flow and rhythm of traffic off the 217. Traffic from the 217 is not as predictable as you might think (I have been making this drive for years)	1/17/2017 2:33 PM
28	something needs to be done about fairview and calle real. that light in any direction is crazy long.	1/17/2017 2:26 PM
29	the turn signal where Fairview turns left near the carwash is VERY long and often takes more than one time to get through.	1/17/2017 2:18 PM
30	Please do something about the intersection of 217 and the Southbound 101. It's terrible from 4:45pm on. HELP!	1/17/2017 2:12 PM
31	The congestion at 5pm from the 217 onto the 101 South is due to the 217 going down to one lane before merging with the 101 South. Metering this intersection will only make traffic worse. Creating another lane onto the 101 south is the only way to fix the problem. 2 lanes on the 217 to 2 lanes on the 101.	1/17/2017 2:10 PM
32	We would have less traffic congestion if there were better bus services.	1/17/2017 2:07 PM
33	More roundabouts/traffic circles would be most welcome for huge traffic flow, safety and environmental benefits.	1/17/2017 2:07 PM
34	Many, many people run the red light on left turns at the Hollister Ave/Storke Rd intersection. This area is very congested and will probably become more so with the opening of the hotel and the additional housing being built.	1/17/2017 2:02 PM
35	It seems like terrible idea to have essentially four lanes merge to two at the Fairview Ave to US 101 Northbound On- ramp!	1/17/2017 2:00 PM
36	I avoid the Calle Real/Fairview intersection (and that whole mess with the on/off ramps from 101); and the Hollister/Storke intersection. Those two are just painful every time you go through them. 101 south from 217 for the next 3-4 exits is ALWAYS backed up. I think some of this is because you have too many cars merging into traffic. Having a through travel lane or two for traffic moving through the area but separate from the local traffic would do wonders. The on ramp light idea seems just like a bandaid, not a real fix. The short onramps cause alot of trouble, people merge into 65 mph traffic doing 45 mph.	1/17/2017 1:55 PM
37	I think meering lights at southbound 101 at 217, Turnpike, and Patterson would make the commute safer.	1/17/2017 1:50 PM
38	I find two intersections to be particularly dangerous for bikes and pedestrians: Hollister/Storke and Calle Real/Fairview. I have witnessed one cyclist hit by a car at Hollister and Storke (luckily cyclist was ok, and incident was not reported to anyone). And I was nearly hit as pedestrian at that same intersection. Another intersection that is increasingly difficult is Hollister/Los Carneros. Vehicles drive very fast and often make right on red without stopping.	1/17/2017 1:41 PM
39	There should be a 3 way stop on Cathedral Oaks between Calle Real and Hollister	1/17/2017 1:41 PM
40	Metering is bad idea.	1/17/2017 1:39 PM

Goleta Ramp Metering Study Survey

41	Before 217 merges onto 101, it megres 2 lanes down to 1 going downhill. With traffic, this is dangerous/difficult to navigate by motorcycle. I often drive on the shoulder to avoid the merging traffic for my safety.	1/17/2017 1:37 PM
42	There needs to be more police officers in the area of Storke/Hollister. People run red lights there on a very frequent basis when turning left from Hollister on to Stoke to head South on 101. There is also VERY frequent gridlock at NB 101 off ramp at Glenn Annie. Cars are always blocking the intersections when their light is red causing major back up for everyone else. Photo enforcement/Re Light cameras would be great at both of these locations. They would make the roads much safer as well as generate substantial income for the City.	1/17/2017 1:35 PM
43	The merge arrow on 217 (left lane) when entering 101S seems confusing to driversshould be on the right lane showing merging to the left.	1/17/2017 1:32 PM
44	217 to 101 south is horrible every week night at 5:00	1/17/2017 1:32 PM
45	Holliser Ave at Storke Rd has become so awful over the last year. I live near that area and have started getting on the freeway at Windcher in the morning to avoid that area at all costs. Sometimes I exit Winchester too depending on how bad the traffic is exiting. Sometimes it so bad I can't get over from the left lane on the freeway to the right lane to exit. Goleta traffic has really become quite terrible.	1/17/2017 1:28 PM
46	Due to excess amount of cars merging at once makes it unsafe for them and those already on the slow lane of freeway	1/17/2017 1:28 PM
47	These types of traffic signals will only slow an already slow commute. Please do not create further congestion.	1/17/2017 1:24 PM
48	101/Fairview intersections are extremely frustrating. Others are just fine.	1/17/2017 1:23 PM
49	I am in favor of having a ramp meter in place on the 217 merging onto 101 south. It would make it safer to merge onto the 101. Currently people fight to be first. Please do not hesitate to put one in.	1/17/2017 1:22 PM
50	As a past resident of Orange County that placed the meters on on-ramps, they did nothing to help the flow of traffic. Nothing.	1/17/2017 1:20 PM
51	it would be nice if the 217 freeway had a north bound on ramp which may help relieve traffic.	1/9/2017 2:13 AM
52	Thanks!	12/30/2016 1:07 PM
53	Hollister/storke intersection is a nightmare during peak traffic times. The extra lights and removing the right turn lane from storke to hollister next to chevron does not help. The traffic from the new hotel will only make it more miserable.	12/29/2016 8:42 AM
54	As an Ellwood resident frequently traveling southbound, I have started using the Winchester onramp since the construction of Hollister Village and the additional traffic light at Glen Annie Road. Not quite related to ramp meters, but I would love to see a light rail system on Hollister in the future to decrease dependence on cars and link the centers of activity in this corridor.	12/24/2016 10:01 AM
55	Hollister-Storke and Calle Real-Fairview are the worst intersections in the city. Please do something to alleviate these.	12/23/2016 10:26 PM
56	N/A	12/23/2016 4:40 PM
57	101 North should be 3 lanes from Fairview to Storke, the merge at Fairview to 2 lanes at the onramp is dangerous. Traffic is frequently stopped on the 101 before the Storke exit because of so many cars trying to exit.	12/23/2016 9:33 AM
58	The volume of conjestion in the west aide of town is rarely as bad as one or two drivers with unsafe moves makeit seem. Perhaps the population is less the problem than the culture of a few really unsafe drivers make it. The bobbing and weaving of a few selfish people are responsable for more breaklights than anything i see in the winchester and storke zones.	12/22/2016 8:39 PM
59	the traffic we see and experence now, will be much more conjested as all the residental developments are occupied. The level of that impact is unknown at this time, but will impact some intersections and on/off ramps that may not be that heavely used at this time. This is like what has been done with traffic studies in the past, they were conducted, (using traffic counters) when schools were NOT IN secession.	12/19/2016 10:51 AM
60	The Roundabout at Calle Real and Los Carneros is excellent and makes traffic flow better. The intersection of Hollister and Storke is really bad with long lights, no ability to turn right. There was some improvement with the ability for two lanes to enter 101 southbound	12/15/2016 1:45 PM
61	217 bicsects Old Town. Need cross streets with stop lights on the south side of Hollister. This in my opinion, would improve traffic flow as well as improve flow onto 101.	12/14/2016 11:35 AM
62	Hollister ave, from los carneros to winchester Particularly the Storke rd stretch is horrible at all times. Glen annie offramp backs up dangerously onto the 101 in the evening. Goleta needs a NB off ramp north of Stoke/GA (Ellwood Station) and a SB on ramp at Pacific Oaks.	12/14/2016 9:46 AM

Goleta Ramp Metering Study Survey

63	The solution is in the direction of LESS personal vehicular transportation. Prioritize public trans, cycling and walking options. Most people CAN ride/walk/use public trans but they won't choose to given our lazy, "comfort-driven", isolationist culture. Please don't invest in more & bigger roads/systems - instead invest in public trans and SAFE cycling options. We cyclists do more than our part and we are always at risk of major bodily injury and death! People will figure out how to exist without the use of their cars EVERY time they merely WANT something - let's end making it so easy to drive everywhere.	12/14/2016 9:36 AM
64	The Hollister/Storke intersections (including 101) are the worst, but I don't think on-ramp consols would help.	12/13/2016 11:14 PM
65	The street congestion is the main problem in Goleta. Getting on the freeway is easy flow for the most part except the 217 to the 101-that is the one and only on ramp that needs metering. The Goleta intersections traffic would only get worse if metering delayed the flow of traffic leaving them and entering the freeway.	12/13/2016 8:05 PM
66	I hope the new overpass out by Brandon has a round about at calle real and not a stop sign.	12/13/2016 7:05 PM
67	Beautifully done visual aids in this survey! Thank you!	12/13/2016 5:53 PM
68	Why change something that's already working?! Lets save money	12/13/2016 5:13 PM
69	Passenger rail service would really help commuters from Ventura to Goleta to Ventura	12/13/2016 3:45 PM
70	The Fairview/101/Calle Real Intersection is completely awful if approached from N or S Fairview, all the time. My evening commute from Goleta to SB encounters heavy traffic from the Patterson, 217 and turnpike on-ramps.	12/13/2016 3:16 PM
71	Calle Real at Fairview intersection is the worst intersection on the Central Coast.	12/13/2016 2:32 PM
72	The Hollister/Glen Annie intersection is a nightmare! Tony Vallejo and the other pro-growth councilmen should be run out of town on a rail!	12/13/2016 2:30 PM
73	Exiting Patterson from 101 N in the evening is horrendous	12/13/2016 2:22 PM
74	Having lived in OC and LA, my experience is that freeway meters simply cause traffic to backup onto surface streets, which at key intersections is already problematic.	12/13/2016 1:57 PM
75	217 to 101 is a highway to highway connection and should not be metered. Consecutive on ramps at 217 and Patterson is the big problem, plus everyone getting off at 5 PM contributes negatively to congestion. Consider HOV meter lanes.	11/9/2016 4:16 PM

