

SBCAG Ramp Meter Public Meeting  
10/27/16 5:30 PM

Onramps

**Northbound onto 101 @:**

Turnpike – Not more than some congestion during peak times.

Patterson – ¾ majority says no more than some congestion during peak times.

Fairview – Majority says very bad congestion during peak hours.

Los Carneros – Majority = not bad during peak hours.

Glenn Annie – Majority = not bad during peak hours or no opinion.

Calle Real – Mostly no opinion, or not bad at all.

**Southbound onto 101 @:**

Patterson - Very bad PM.

SB 217 onto 101 – Really bad 5 – 6:00 PM.

Fairview – Not so bad, worse in PM.

Storke – Some congestion AM peak.

Cathedral Oaks – Not bad.

**Hollister:**

Westbound – 2 to 1 Not Bad to Very Bad w/ 1/3 no opinion.

Eastbound – Majority = Very bad during peak.

Intersections

Calle Real/ Turnpike – Some to very bad AM & PM.

Hollister/ Turnpike – Not Bad.

Hollister / Patterson – Mostly not bad.

Calle Real / Patterson – Bad all day.

Calle Real / Fairview – Always bad.

Hollister / Fairview – Some congestion AM peak.

Calle Real/ Los Carneros – Not bad.

Hollister / Los Carneros – Not bad to some.

Hollister / Storke – Some Congestion to very bad – peak.

Cathedral Oaks / Hollister – Some congestion.

Which areas would benefit from ramp meters @ 101?

- East of 217
- Between Patterson & Fairview

If you knew ramp meters were installed, would you avoid that ramp?

Group seemed to be open to meters if they improved traffic flow on/off highway and in and around intersection.